arXiv:hep-th/9406185v1 28 Jun 1994

Local Systems of Vertex Operators, Vertex Superalgebras and Modules

Hai-sheng Li

Department of Mathematics

Rutgers University

New Brunswick, NJ 08903

Abstract

We give an analogue for vertex operator algebras and superalgebras of the notion of endomorphism ring of a vector space by means of a notion of "local system of vertex operators" for a (super) vector space. We first prove that any local system of vertex operators on a (super) vector space M has a natural vertex (super)algebra structure with M as a module. Then we prove that for a vertex (operator) superalgebra V, giving a V-module M is equivalent to giving a vertex (operator) superalgebra homomorphism from V to some local system of vertex operators on M. As applications, we prove that certain lowest weight modules for some well-known infinite-dimensional Lie algebras or Lie superalgebras have natural vertex operator superalgebra structures. We prove the rationality of vertex operator superalgebras associated to standard modules for an affine algebra. We also give an analogue of the notion of the space of linear homomorphisms from one module to another for a Lie algebra by introducing a notion of "generalized intertwining operators." We prove that $G(M^1, M^2)$, the space of generalized intertwining operators from one module M^1 to another module M^2 for a vertex operator superalgebra V, is a generalized V-module. Furthermore, we prove that for a fixed vertex operator superalgebra V and three V-modules M^i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$, giving an intertwining operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} M^3 \\ M^1, M^2 \end{pmatrix}$ is equivalent to giving a V-homomorphism from M^1 to $G(M^2, M^3)$.

1 Introduction

Vertex (operator) algebras ([B], [FLM]), which are mathematical counterparts of chiral algebras in conformal field theory (cf. [BPZ]), are analogues of both Lie algebras and commutative associative algebras (see for example [B], [FHL], [FLM], [H]). In classical Lie theory, both the notion of endomorphism algebra of a vector space and the notion of space of linear maps between vector spaces are fundmental and important. Thus we naturally expect to have certain analogues for vertex operator algebras, which may play important roles.

In this paper, we give an analogue for vertex operator superalgebras of the notion of endomorphism algebra of a vector space and an analogue of the notion of the space of linear homomorphisms from one module to another for a Lie algebra. As applications, we prove that certain lowest weight modules for certain infinite-dimensional Lie algebras or Lie superalgebras such as the Virasoro algebra, the Neveu-Schwarz algebra and affine superalgebras have natural vertex operator superalgebra structures. We also prove the rationality of certain vertex operator superalgebras associated to standard modules for an affine Lie superalgebra. This gives an alternate proof for some known results ([FZ], [Lian], [KW]). Our results are more general than the corresponding results of [KW].

In vertex operator superalgebra theory, in addition to the notion of module we have the notion of twisted module ([D2], [FFR], [FLM]). All the corresponding results of this paper in the twisted case have also been obtained [L3].

Now we give an outline of this paper. For simplicity, let us just consider the ordinary ("unsuper") case; all the results summarized here are carried out for superalgebras in this paper. Starting with a vector space M, we consider the vector space $(\mathrm{End}M)[[z, z^{-1}]]$ consisting of all formal series of operators on M, which can be viewed as a complex analogue of $\mathrm{End}M$. Next, we need to find an appropriate "algebra product." Suppose that a subspace A of $(\mathrm{End}M)[[z, z^{-1}]]$ equipped with a linear map $Y(\cdot, z_1)$ from A to

$(\mathrm{End}A)[[z_1, z_1^{-1}]]$ such that (A, Y) is a vertex algebra with (M, Y_M) as a natural A-module, in the sense that $Y_M(a(z), z_1) = a(z_1)$ for any $a(z) \in A$. Then $Y_M(\cdot, z_1)$ satisfies the Jacobi identity. Let $a(z)$ and $b(z)$ be two elements of A. Taking Res_{z_1} of the Jacobi identity for $Y_M(\cdot, z_1)$ and using the naturality, we obtain the following "iterate formula:"

$$\begin{aligned} & Y_M(Y(a(z), z_0)b(z), z_2) \\ = \ & \mathrm{Res}_{z_1}\left(z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_0}\right)a(z_1)b(z_2) - (-1)^{|a(z)||b(z)|}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2 - z_1}{-z_0}\right)b(z_2)a(z_1)\right) \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

Since $c(z) = c(z_1)|_{z_1=z} = Y_M(c(z), z_1)|_{z_1=z}$ for any $c(z) \in A$, we obtain

$$Y(a(z), z_0)b(z) = Y_M(Y(a(z), z_0)b(z), z_2)|_{z_2=z}. \tag{1.2}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & Y(a(z), z_0)b(z) \\ = \ & \mathrm{Res}_{z_1}\left(z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z}{z_0}\right)a(z_1)b(z) - (-1)^{|a(z)||b(z)|}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z - z_1}{-z_0}\right)b(z)a(z_1)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

This is our desired "product" formula. Noticing that the expression of the right hand side of (1.3) is not well defined for arbitrary $a(z), b(z) \in (\mathrm{End}M)[[z, z^{-1}]]$, we restrict our attention to the subspace $F(M)$ consisting of those formal series $a(z)$ satisfying the truncation condition, i.e., $a(z)u \in M((z))$ for any $u \in M$; note that we must have $A \subseteq F(M)$. Then $F(M)$ is a subspace on which the right-hand side of (1.3) is well defined and on which $D = \dfrac{d}{dz}$ acts. Let $I(z) = \mathrm{id}_M$ be the identity operator of M. Then we obtain a quadruple $(F(M), I(z), D, Y)$. But $(F(M), D, I(z), Y)$ is not a vertex algebra.

To a certain extent, vertex algebras look like commutative associative algebras with identity because of the "commutativity" and the "associativity." Just as there is no canonical largest commutative associative algebra associated to a vector space, there is no (canonical) universal or largest vertex algebra associated to M, but there are maximal vertex algebras inside the space $F(M)$, what we call "local systems of vertex operators."

Formal series $a(z)$ and $b(z)$ of operators on M are said to be *mutually local* if there is a positive integer k such that

$$(z_1 - z_2)^k a(z_1)b(z_2) = (z_1 - z_2)^k b(z_2)a(z_1). \tag{1.4}$$

This is a variant form of the "commutativity" ([FLM], [FHL], [DL]) or the "locality" (cf. [Go]). This variant seems to have been first noticed and exploited by Dong and Lepowsky [DL]. An element $a(z)$ of $F(M)$ is called a *(local) vertex operator* if $a(z)$ is local with itself. Motivated by the notion of "local system" in [Go], we define *a local subpace* of $F(M)$ to be a subspace A such that any two elements of A are mutually local and we define *a local system of vertex operators on* M to be a maximal local subspace of $F(M)$. Then our first main result is that any local system of vertex operators on M is a vertex algebra with M as a module (Theorem 3.2.10).

To do this, we first prove that any local system A of vertex operators on M is closed under the "product" (1.3) (Proposition 3.2.7). Then we prove that the quadruple $(A, I(z), D, Y)$ satisfies the commutativity formula (1.4) (Proposition 3.2.9) and all the axioms for vertex algebra except the Jacobi identity. Then by proving that these properties imply the Jacobi identity (Proposition 2.2.4), we prove that any local system of vertex operators on M is a vertex algebra with M as a module.

It has been well known ([FLM], [Go], [FHL], [DL]) that the "commutativity" implies the Jacobi identity for vertex operator algebra in the presence of some elementary properties. As one of their results, Dong and Lepowsky [DL] have proved that the Jacobi identity can be replaced by the commutativity (1.4) for "generalized vertex operator algebra" and for "generalized vertex algebra" under a milder assumption. This refines and simplifies the corresponding results of [FHL] and [FLM]. (See for example [Guo] and [A] for other applications of formula (1.4).)

In the present paper, we refine DL's result further as follows: Notice that the commutativity formula (1.4) is really a commutativity formula for "left multiplications." At the

classical level, if A is an algebra with identity such that the left multiplications associated to any two elements of A commute, then one can easily prove that A is a commutative associative algebra. Motivated by this classical analogue, instead of using the commutativity for products of three vertex operators applied to $\mathbf{1}$ as the intermediate step as in [FHL] and [DL], we first prove the skew-symmetry and then use this to prove the associativity. This new route enables us to refine the corresponding result of [DL] further for (generalized) vertex algebra (where the milder assumption assumed in [DL] has been removed). The main difference between vertex operator algebras and vertex algebras is that two grading-restriction axioms are removed. For instance, there may be no grading at all for an arbitrary vertex algebra. Our proof is completely "matrix coefficient"-free, so that it meets our need for dealing with local systems without assuming the existence of a certain grading.

Let M be any vector space and let S be any set of mutually local vertex operators on M. It follows from Zorn's lemma that there exists a local system A containing S. Let $\langle S\rangle$ be the vertex subalgebra of A generated by S. Since the "product" (1.3) does not depend on the choice of A, the vertex algebra $\langle S\rangle$ is canonical and M is a $\langle S\rangle$-module (Corollary 3.2.11). Let V be a vertex (operator) algebra. Then we prove that giving a V-module M is equivalent to giving a vertex algebra homomorphism from V to some local system of vertex operators on M (Proposition 3.2.13).

For applications, let us consider the following illustrative example. Let Vir be the Virasoro algebra and let M be a any restricted Vir-module, i.e., for any $u \in M$, $L(n)u = 0$ for n sufficiently large. Then $L(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}} L(n)z^{-n-2}$ can be viewed as an element of $F(M)$. Since the commutator formula implies the commutativity or locality, the generating function $L(z)$ is a local vertex operator on M. Then our results give a vertex algebra V generated by $L(z)$ with M as a V-module. One can prove that V is a lowest weight Vir-module with $I(z)$ as a lowest weight vector. (This module is called the *vacuum mod-*

ule by physicists.) More generally, as long as a given "algebra" can be defined in terms of the general "cross bracket" formula (2.2.6), this procedure works. The main difference between Frenkel and Zhu's approach [FZ] and ours is that they start with an "algebra" (a certain completion of the universal algebra) while we start with a "module."

Let $\mathbf{g}$ be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra and let $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ be the affine algebra. let ℓ be a positive integer and let $L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, 0)$ be the standard $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$-module of level ℓ with lowest weight zero. As in the previous paragraph, it is easy to see that $L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, 0)$ is a vertex operator algebra. (This has also been known in [FZ] and [DL].) In [DL], Dong and Lepowsky have obtained an important formula (Proposition 13.16 [DL]): $Y_M((v_{-1})^{k+1}\mathbf{1}, z) = Y_M(v, z)^{k+1}$, where M is a module for a vertex operator algebra V and v is an element of V such that $[Y_M(v, z_1), Y_M(v, z_2)] = 0$, so that $Y_M(a, z)^k$ is well defined for any $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Using this formula they have proved that every irreducible $L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, 0)$-module is a standard $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$-module (of level ℓ) (Proposition 13.17 [DL]). For the other direction, they have noticed that every standard $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$-module of level ℓ which can be obtained from the tensor product of ℓ basic standard $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$-modules (of type A, D or E) is an irreducible module for the vertex operator algebra. (See [Xie] for further developments in this direction.)

In this paper, combining the complete reducibility of certain integrable $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$-modules with the complete reducibility of certain $\mathbf{g}$-modules, we prove that every lower truncated $\mathbf{Z}$-graded "weak" $L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, 0)$-module (relaxing one of the two restrictions on the homogeneous subspaces) is a direct sum of standard $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$-modules of level ℓ (Proposition 5.2.6). That is, $L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, 0)$ is rational in the sense of [Z]. (This extends DL's corresponding result.) Conversely, by employing DL's formula and the analogue of endomorphism ring, we prove that every standard $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$-module of level ℓ is an $L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, 0)$-module (Proposition 5.2.4). These results have also been obtained by Frenkel and Zhu [FZ] by using Zhu's $A(V)$-theory [Z]. Although our approach is closely related to FZ's approach, it has a different flavor and it is also self-contained.

Let M^1 and M^2 be two modules for a vertex operator algebra V. Then we introduce a notion of what we call "generalized intertwining operators" from M^1 to M^2 and prove that $\mathrm{G}(M^1, M^2)$, the space of generalized intertwining operators from M^1 to M^2, is a generalized V-module. Furthermore, we prove that for any third V-module M, giving an intertwining operator of type $\binom{M^2}{M, M^1}$ is equivalent to giving a V-homomorphism from M to $\mathrm{G}(M^1, M^2)$. Therefore, we may think of $\mathrm{G}(M^1, M^2)$ as an analogue of the notion of the space of linear homomorphisms from one module to another for a Lie algebra.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we recall some basic definitions and prove that the Jacobi identity for vertex superalgebra can be equivalently replaced by the commutativity formula (1.4) or (2.2.7). In Section 3 we give an analogue of the notion of endomorphism ring of a vector space by means of local systems of vertex operators. In Section 4 we study vertex operator superalgebras and modules associated to some well-known infinite-dimensional Lie algebras or Lie superalgebras. In Section 5 we give the semisimple representation theory for vertex operator algebras associated to standard modules for an affine Lie algebra. In Section 6 we give an analogue of the notion of the space of linear homomorphisms.

Acknowledgement

This paper was greatly influenced by Professor James Lepowsky's lectures on vertex operator algebras at Rutgers for the last two years. We would like to thank Professor Lepowsky for much insightful advice, many stimulating discussions and patient help in both mathematics and paper writing. We are grateful to Professor Chongying Dong from whom I have learned a lot about vertex operator algebra theory, in particular, the proof of Proposition 3.2.7. We believe that some results presented here are known to him. We would like also to thank Professor Robert Wilson for reading this paper. Four years ago, Professor Wilson had obtained some results (unpublished) about an analogue of endomorphism ring

for vertex operator algebras; the present results are in a different direction.

2 Vertex superalgebras and modules

In this section, we shall prove that the Jacobi identity for vertex superalgebra can be equivalently replaced by the supercommutativity formula (1.4) (and (2.2.7)) or by the skew symmetry (2.2.5) and the associativity (2.2.9). The same proof shows that the Jacobi identity for module of a vertex superalgebra can be replaced by the associativity (2.2.9). This proves that Borcherds' notion of vertex algebra [B] is essentially equivalent to the notion of vertex operator algebra formulated by Frenkel, Lepowsky and Meurman [FLM]. (This is presumably known to Borcherds.) Since our approach is "matrix-coefficient"-free, it meets our needs for dealing with arbitrary vertex superalgebras for which there may be no grading at all. All the results of this section could be easily extended to "colored vertex operator superalgebra" (cf. [Xu]).

2.1 Formal calculus

In this subsection we shall present some elementary operations and properties of formal series. Our notations agree with those in [FLM] and [FHL]. Let x, y, z, z_0, $z_1, \cdots$ be commuting formal variables. For a vector space V, we set

$$V\{z\} = \{\sum_{n\in\mathbf{C}} v_n z^n | v_n \in V\},\ V[[z, z^{-1}]] = \{\sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}} v_n z^n | v_n \in V\}. \tag{2.1.1}$$

We set the following subspaces of $V[[z, z^{-1}]]$:

$$V[z] = \{\sum_{n\in\mathbf{N}} v_n z^n | v_n \in V, v_n = 0 \text{ for } n \text{ suficiently large}\}, \tag{2.1.2}$$

$$V[z, z^{-1}] = \{\sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}} v_n z^n | v_n \in V, v_n = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } n\}, \tag{2.1.3}$$

$$V[[z]] = \{\sum_{n\in\mathbf{N}} v_n z^n | v_n \in V\}, \tag{2.1.4}$$

$$V((z)) = \{\sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}} v_n z^n | v_n \in V, v_n = 0 \text{ for } n \text{ suficiently small}\}. \tag{2.1.5}$$

For $f(z)=\sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}}v_nz^n\in V[[z,z^{-1}]]$, the formal derivative is defined to be

$$\frac{d}{dz}f(z)=f'(z)=\sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}}nv_nz^{n-1}, \tag{2.1.6}$$

and the formal residue is defined as follows:

$$\mathrm{Res}_zf(z)=v_{-1}\quad(\text{the coefficient of } z^{-1} \text{ in } f(z)). \tag{2.1.7}$$

If $f(z)\in\mathbf{C}((z)),g(z)\in V((z))$, we have:

$$\mathrm{Res}_z(f'(z)g(z))=-\mathrm{Res}_z(f(z)g'(z)). \tag{2.1.8}$$

For $\alpha\in\mathbf{C}$, as a formal series, $(z_1+z_2)^\alpha$ is defined to be

$$(z_1+z_2)^\alpha=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\binom{\alpha}{k}z_1^{\alpha-k}z_2^k, \tag{2.1.9}$$

where $\binom{\alpha}{k}=\dfrac{\alpha(\alpha-1)\cdots(\alpha-k+1)}{k!}$. If $f(z)\in V\{z\}$, then we have the following Taylor formula:

$$e^{z_0\frac{\partial}{\partial z}}f(z)=f(z+z_0). \tag{2.1.10}$$

In vertex algebra theory, one of the most important formal series is the formal δ-function, which is defined to be

$$\delta(z)=\sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}}z^n\in\mathbf{C}[[z,z^{-1}]]. \tag{2.1.11}$$

Thus

$$\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right)=\sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}}z_0^{-n}(z_1-z_2)^n=\sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}}\sum_{k\in\mathbf{N}}(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}z_0^{-n}z_1^{n-k}z_2^k. \tag{2.1.12}$$

Furthermore, we have:

$$z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right)-z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2-z_1}{-z_0}\right)=z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right), \tag{2.1.13}$$

$$z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right)=z_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_0+z_2}{z_1}\right). \tag{2.1.14}$$

Lemma 2.1.1. *As formal series, we have*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_0}\left(z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right)\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}\left(z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right)\right)=-\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\left(z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right)\right). \quad (2.1.15)$$

Proof. It is clear that $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}\left(z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right)\right)=-\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\left(z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right)\right)$. By (2.1.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_0}\left(z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right)\right) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial z_0}\left(z_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_0+z_2}{z_1}\right)\right)\\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}\left(z_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_0+z_2}{z_1}\right)\right)\\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}\left(z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right)\right). \quad \square \end{aligned} \quad (2.1.16)$$

Lemma 2.1.2 [FLM]. a) *If* $f(z_1,z_2)\in V[[z_1,z_1^{-1}]]((z_2))$, *then*

$$\delta\left(\frac{z_0+z_2}{z_1}\right)f(z_1,z_2)=\delta\left(\frac{z_0+z_2}{z_1}\right)f(z_0+z_2,z_2). \quad (2.1.17)$$

b) *If* $f(z_0,z_2)\in V[[z_0,z_0^{-1}]][[z_2]]$, *then*

$$\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right)f(z_0,z_2)=\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right)f(z_0,z_1-z_0). \quad (2.1.18)$$

Lemma 2.1.3. *If* $m,n\in\mathbf{N}, m>n$, *then*

$$(z_1-z_2)^m\delta^{(n)}\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\right)=0. \quad (2.1.19)$$

Proof. We prove this lemma by using induction on n. If $n=0$, it follows from Lemma 2.1.2 that

$$(z_1-z_2)^m\delta\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\right)=0 \quad \text{for any } 0<m\in\mathbf{Z}. \quad (2.1.20)$$

Assume that $(z_1-z_2)^k\delta^{(n)}\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\right)=0$ for any $k>n$. If $m>n+1$, then by assumption,

$$(z_1-z_2)^{m-1}\delta^{(n)}\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\right)=0,\ (z_1-z_2)^m\delta^{(n)}\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\right)=0. \quad (2.1.21)$$

Differentiating $(z_1-z_2)^m\delta^{(n)}\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\right)=0$ with respect to z_1, then using (2.1.21) we obtain

$$(z_1-z_2)^m\delta^{(n+1)}\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\right)=0. \quad (2.1.22)$$

This finishes the induction procedure. $\square$

Lemma 2.1.4. *Let V be any vector space and let $f_0(z_2), \cdots, f_m(z_2) \in V[[z_2, z_2^{-1}]]$. Then*

$$z_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2}{z_1}\right) f_0(z_2) + \cdots + z_1^{-m-1}\delta^{(m)}\left(\frac{z_2}{z_1}\right) f_m(z_2) = 0 \tag{2.1.23}$$

if and only if $f_k(z_2) = 0$ for $k = 0, \cdots, m$.

Proof. We shall prove this lemma by contradiction. Suppose $f_k(z_2) \neq 0$ for some k. Let n be the nonnegative integer such that $f_n(z_2) \neq 0$ and $f_k(z_2) = 0$ for $n < k \leq m$. Applying $\mathrm{Res}_{z_1} z_1^{n+1}(z_1 - z_2)^n$ to the left hand side of (2.1.23), by Lemma 2.1.3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathrm{Res}_{z_1}(z_1 - z_2)^n \delta^{(n)}\left(\frac{z_2}{z_1}\right) f_n(z_2) \\ &= \mathrm{Res}_{z_1}(z_1 - z_2)^n \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\right)^n \delta\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\right) z_2^n f_n(z_2) \\ &= (-1)^n n! z_2^{n+1} f_n(z_2). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1.24}$$

Therefore $f_n(z_2) = 0$. This is a contradiction. $\square$

2.2 Vertex (operator) superalgebras

Let $M = M^0 \oplus M^1$ be any $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$-graded vector space. Then any element u in M^0 (resp. M^1) is said to be *even* (resp. *odd*). For any homogeneous element u, we define $|u| = 0$ if u is even, $|u| = 1$ if u is odd. If M and W are any two $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$-graded vector spaces, we define $\varepsilon_{u,v} = (-1)^{|u||v|}$ for any homogeneous elements $u \in M, v \in W$.

The following definition of vertex (operator) superalgebra (cf. [T]) is formulated according to FLM's definition of vertex operator algebra ([FLM], [FHL]) and Borcherds' definition of vertex algebra [B].

Definition 2.2.1. A *vertex superalgebra* is a quadruple $(V, D, \mathbf{1}, Y)$, where $V = V^0 \oplus V^1$ is a $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$-graded vector space, D is an endomorphism of V, $\mathbf{1}$ is a specified vector called the *vacuum* of V, and Y is a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\cdot, z): \quad & V \to (\mathrm{End}V)[[z, z^{-1}]]; \\ & a \mapsto Y(a,z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} a_n z^{-n-1} \ \ (\text{where } a_n \in \mathrm{End}V) \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.1}$$

such that

$(V1)$ For any $a, b \in V, a_n b = 0$ for n sufficiently large;

$(V2)$ $[D, Y(a,z)] = Y(D(a), z) = \dfrac{d}{dz} Y(a,z)$ for any $a \in V$;

$(V3)$ $Y(\mathbf{1}, z) = \mathrm{id}_V$ (the identity operator of V);

$(V4)$ $Y(a,z)\mathbf{1} \in (\mathrm{End}V)[[z]]$ and $\lim\limits_{z \to 0} Y(a,z)\mathbf{1} = a$ for any $a \in V$;

$(V5)$ For $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$-homogeneous elements $a, b \in V$, the following *Jacobi identity* holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & z_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_0}\right) Y(a,z_1) Y(b,z_2) - \varepsilon_{a,b} z_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_2 - z_1}{-z_0}\right) Y(b,z_2) Y(a,z_1) \\ = \ & z_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2}\right) Y(Y(a,z_0)b, z_2). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.2}$$

This completes the definition of vertex superalgebra. The following are consequences:

$$Y(a,z)\mathbf{1} = e^{zD} a \quad \text{for any } a \in V, \tag{2.2.3}$$

$$e^{z_0 D} Y(a,z) e^{-z_0 D} = Y(a, z+z_0) \quad \text{for any } a \in V, \tag{2.2.4}$$

$$Y(a,z)b = \varepsilon_{a,b} e^{zD} Y(b,-z)b \quad \text{for } (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})\text{-homogeneous } a, b \in V. \tag{2.2.5}$$

For any nonnegative integer k, taking $\mathrm{Res}_{z_0} z_0^k$ of the Jacobi identity, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (z_1 - z_2)^k [Y(a,z_1), Y(b,z_2)]_{\pm} := \\
= \;& (z_1 - z_2)^k \left(Y(a,z_1)Y(b,z_2) - \varepsilon_{a,b} Y(b,z_2)Y(a,z_1) \right) \\
= \;& \mathrm{Res}_{z_0} z_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right) z_0^k Y(Y(a,z_0)b, z_2) \\
= \;& \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} \left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} \right)^i z_1^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_2}{z_1} \right) \right) Y(a_{k+i}b, z_2).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2.6}$$

If $k = 0$, we get the *supercommutator formula*. Let m be a positive integer such that $a_n b = 0$ for $n \geq m$. Then we obtain the following *supercommutativity*:

$$(z_1 - z_2)^m Y(a,z_1)Y(b,z_2) = \varepsilon_{a,b} (z_1 - z_2)^m Y(b,z_2)Y(a,z_1). \tag{2.2.7}$$

Taking Res_{z_1} of the Jacobi identity, we obtain the following *iterate formula*:

$$\begin{aligned}
& Y(Y(a,z_0)b, z_2) \\
= \;& \mathrm{Res}_{z_1} \left(z_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_0} \right) Y(a,z_1)Y(b,z_2) - \varepsilon_{a,b} z_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{-z_2 + z_1}{z_0} \right) Y(b,z_2)Y(a,z_1) \right) \\
= \;& Y(a, z_0 + z_2)Y(b,z_2) - \varepsilon_{a,b} Y(b,z_2)(Y(a, z_0 + z_2) - Y(a, z_2 + z_0)).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2.8}$$

For any $c \in V$, let m be a positive integer such that $z^m Y(a,z)c$ involves only positive powers of z. Then we obtain the following *associativity*:

$$(z_0 + z_2)^m Y(Y(a,z_0)b, z_2)c = (z_0 + z_2)^m Y(a, z_0 + z_2)Y(b,z_2)c. \tag{2.2.9}$$

A vertex superalgebra V is called a *vertex operator superalgebra* (cf. [T]) if there is another distinguished vector ω of V such that

$$(V6) \qquad [L(m), L(n)] = (m-n)L(m+n) + \frac{m^3 - m}{12} \delta_{m+n,0} (\mathrm{rank} V)$$

for $m, n \in \mathbf{Z}$, where $Y(\omega, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} L(n) z^{-n-2}$, $\mathrm{rank} V \in \mathbf{C}$;

$(V7)$ $L(-1) = D$, *i.e.*, $Y(L(-1)a, z) = \dfrac{d}{dz} Y(a, z)$ for any $a \in V$;

$(V8)$ V is $\dfrac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}$-graded such that $V = \oplus_{n \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}} V_{(n)}$, $L(0)|_{V_{(n)}} = n\mathrm{id}$, $\dim V_{(n)} < \infty$, and $V_{(n)} = 0$ for n sufficiently small.

Remark 2.2.2. In the definition of vertex operator superalgebra, since the first equality of (V2) follows from the Jacobi identity, it is enough only to assume the second equality. But for vertex superalgebra, it is necessary to assume both of them.

Remark 2.2.3. Let M be any vector space with a set $\{L(m) | m \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ of endomorphisms of M and let ℓ be a complex number. Set $L(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} L(n) z^{-n-2}$. Then the Virasoro relation (V6) with central charge ℓ is equivalent to the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
& [L(z_1), L(z_2)] \\
= & \sum_{m,n \in \mathbf{Z}} [L(m), L(n)] z_1^{-m-2} z_2^{-n-2} \\
= & \sum_{m,n \in \mathbf{Z}} \left((m-n) L(m+n) + \frac{m^3 - m}{12} \delta_{m+n,0} \ell \right) z_1^{-m-2} z_2^{-n-2} \\
= & \sum_{m,n \in \mathbf{Z}} \left((-m-n-2) L(m+n) + 2(m+1) L(m+n) \right) z_1^{-m-2} z_2^{-n-2} \\
& + \ell \sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{m^3 - m}{12} z_1^{-m-2} z_2^{m-2} \\
= & \ z_1^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_2}{z_1} \right) L'(z_2) + 2 z_1^{-2} \delta\left(\frac{z_2}{z_1} \right) L(z_2) + \frac{\ell}{12} z_1^{-4} \delta^{(3)}\left(\frac{z_2}{z_1} \right). \qquad (2.2.10)
\end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from Lemma 2.1.4 and the commutator formula (2.2.6) that the Virasoro relation (V6) and the $L(-1)$-derivative relation (V7) are equivalent to the following conditions:

$$Y(\omega_0 a, z) = \frac{d}{dz} Y(a, z) \quad \text{for any } a \in V, \qquad (2.2.11)$$

$$\omega_1 \omega = 2\omega,\ \omega_2 \omega = 0,\ \omega_3 \omega = \frac{\ell}{2} \mathbf{1},\ \omega_n \omega = 0 \quad \text{for } n > 3. \qquad (2.2.12)$$

Proposition 2.2.4. *In the definition of vertex superalgebra, the Jacobi identity can be equivalently substituted by the supercommutativity formula (2.2.7).*

Remark 2.2.5. Notice that the supercommutativity formula (2.2.7) is really a supercommutativity formula for "left multiplications." Let us give a "proof" of Proposition 2.2.4 at the classical level as follows: Let A be any algebra with a right identity 1 and denote by ℓ_a the left multiplication by an element a. Suppose that $\ell_a\ell_b = \ell_b\ell_a$ for any $a, b \in A$. Then $a(bc) = b(ac)$ for any $a, b, c \in A$. Setting $c = 1$, we obtain the commutativity $ab = ba$. Furthermore, we obtain the associativity:

$$a(cb) = a(bc) = b(ac) = (ac)b \quad \text{for any } a, b, c \in A. \tag{2.2.13}$$

Therefore A is a commutative associative algebra.

Proof of Proposition 2.2.4. Our proof, which consists of three steps, is exactly an analogue of the argument given in Remark 2.2.5.

(1) The *skew-symmetry* (2.2.5) holds. Let k be a positive integer such that $b_m a = 0$ for all $m \geq k$ and that the supercommutativity formula (2.2.7) holds. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (z_1 - z_2)^k Y(a, z_1)Y(b, z_2)\mathbf{1} \\ = \ & \varepsilon_{a,b}(z_1 - z_2)^k Y(b, z_2)Y(a, z_1)\mathbf{1} \\ = \ & \varepsilon_{a,b}(z_1 - z_2)^k Y(b, z_2)e^{z_1 D}a \\ = \ & \varepsilon_{a,b}(z_1 - z_2)^k e^{z_1 D}Y(b, z_2 - z_1)a. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.14}$$

Since $(z_1 - z_2)^k Y(b, z_2 - z_1)a$ involves only nonnegative powers of $(z_2 - z_1)$, we may set $z_2 = 0$. Thus

$$z_1^k Y(a, z_1)b = \varepsilon_{a,b} z_1^k e^{z_1 D}Y(b, -z_1)a. \tag{2.2.15}$$

Multiplying both sides of (2.2.15) by z_1^{-k} we obtain $Y(a, z_1)b = \varepsilon_{a,b}e^{z_1 D}Y(b, -z_1)a$.

(2) The associativity formula (2.2.9) holds. For any $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$-homogeneous $a, c \in V$, let k be a positive integer such that the supercommutativity formula (2.2.7) for (a, c) holds.

Then for any $b \in V$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (z_0+z_2)^k Y(a, z_0+z_2)Y(b,z_2)c \\
= \;& \varepsilon_{b,c}(z_0+z_2)^k Y(a, z_0+z_2)e^{z_2 D}Y(c,-z_2)b \\
= \;& \varepsilon_{b,c}e^{z_2 D}(z_0+z_2)^k Y(a, z_0)Y(c,-z_2)b \\
= \;& \varepsilon_{b,c}\varepsilon_{a,c}e^{z_2 D}(z_0+z_2)^k Y(c, -z_2)Y(a,z_0)b \\
= \;& (z_0+z_2)^k Y(Y(a, z_0)b,z_2)c. \qquad (2.2.16)
\end{aligned}$$

(3) The Jacobi identity holds. Choosing k in (2) such that $a_m c = 0$ for all $m \geq k$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& z_0^k z_1^k \left(z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right) Y(a,z_1)Y(b,z_2)c - \varepsilon_{a,b} z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{-z_2+z_1}{z_0}\right) Y(b,z_2)Y(a,z_1)c\right) \\
= \;& z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right) z_1^k (z_1-z_2)^k Y(a,z_1)Y(b,z_2)c \\
& -\varepsilon_{a,b} z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{-z_2+z_1}{z_0}\right) z_1^k (z_1-z_2)^k Y(b,z_2)Y(a,z_1)c \\
= \;& z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right)\varepsilon_{a,b}\left(z_1^k (z_1-z_2)^k Y(b,z_2)Y(a,z_1)c\right) \\
= \;& z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right)\varepsilon_{a,b}\left(z_0^k (z_0+z_2)^k Y(b,z_2)Y(a,z_2+z_0)c\right). \qquad (2.2.17)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $a_m c = 0$ for all $m \geq k$, $(z_0+z_2)^k Y(a, z_2+z_0)c$ involves only nonnegative powers of (z_2+z_0), so that

$$z_0^k (z_0+z_2)^k Y(b,z_2)Y(a,z_2+z_0)c = z_0^k (z_0+z_2)^k Y(b,z_2)Y(a,z_0+z_2)c. \qquad (2.2.18)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& z_0^k z_1^k \left(z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right) Y(a,z_1)Y(b,z_2)c - \varepsilon_{a,b} z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2-z_1}{-z_0}\right) Y(b,z_2)Y(a,z_1)c\right) \\
= \;& z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right)\varepsilon_{a,b}\left(z_0^k (z_0+z_2)^k Y(b,z_2)Y(a,z_0+z_2)c\right) \\
= \;& z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right)\left(z_0^k (z_0+z_2)^k Y(a,z_0+z_2)Y(b,z_2)c\right) \\
= \;& z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right)\left(z_0^k (z_0+z_2)^k Y(Y(a,z_0)b,z_2)c\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right)\left(z_0^k z_1^k Y(Y(a,z_0)b,z_2)c\right)\\
&= z_0^k z_1^k z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right)Y(Y(a,z_0)b,z_2)c. \qquad (2.2.19)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the Jacobi identity follows. $\square$

Proposition 2.2.6. *The Jacobi identity for vertex superalgebra V can be equivalently replaced by the skew symmetry (2.2.5) and the associativity formula (2.2.9).*

Proof. For any $a,b,c\in V$, let k be a positive integer such that $z^kY(b,z)c$ involves only positive powers of z and that the following associativities hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(z_0+z_2)^kY(a,z_0+z_2)Y(b,z_2)c &= (z_0+z_2)^kY(Y(a,z_0)b,z_2)c,\\
(-z_0+z_1)^kY(b,-z_0+z_1)Y(a,z_1)c &= (-z_0+z_1)^kY(Y(b,-z_0)a,z_1)c.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
&z_1^kz_2^k\left(z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right)Y(a,z_1)Y(b,z_2)c-\varepsilon_{a,b}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{-z_2+z_1}{z_0}\right)Y(b,z_2)Y(a,z_1)c\right)\\
=\;& z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right)\left((z_0+z_2)^kz_2^kY(Y(a,z_0)b,z_2)c\right)\\
&-\varepsilon_{a,b}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{-z_2+z_1}{z_0}\right)\left(z_1^k(-z_0+z_1)^kY(Y(b,-z_0)a,z_1)c\right)\\
=\;& z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right)\left((z_0+z_2)^kz_2^kY(Y(a,z_0)b,z_2)c\right)\\
&-\varepsilon_{a,b}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{-z_2+z_1}{z_0}\right)\left(z_1^k(-z_0+z_1)^kY(e^{-z_0D}Y(a,z_0)b,z_1)c\right)\\
=\;& z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right)\left((z_0+z_2)^kz_2^kY(Y(a,z_0)b,z_2)c\right)\\
&-\varepsilon_{a,b}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{-z_2+z_1}{z_0}\right)\left(z_1^k(-z_0+z_1)^kY(Y(a,z_0)b,z_1-z_0)c\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $z_2^k(z_0+z_2)^kY(Y(a,z_0)b,z_2)c=(z_0+z_2)^kY(a,z_0+z_2)(z_2^kY(b,z_2)c)$ involves only positive powers of z_2, by (2.1.18) we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right)\left((z_0+z_2)^kz_2^kY(Y(a,z_0)b,z_2)c\right)\\
=\;& z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right)\left(z_1^k(z_1-z_0)^kY(Y(a,z_0)b,z_1-z_0)c\right). \qquad (2.2.20)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& z_1^k z_2^k \left(z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right) Y(a,z_1)Y(b,z_2)c - \varepsilon_{a,b} z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{-z_2+z_1}{z_0}\right) Y(b,z_2)Y(a,z_1)c\right) \\
= \;& z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right)\left(z_1^k(z_1-z_0)^k Y(Y(a,z_0)b,z_1-z_0)c\right) \\
& -\varepsilon_{a,b} z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{-z_2+z_1}{z_0}\right)\left(z_1^k(-z_0+z_1)^k Y(Y(a,z_0)b,z_1-z_0)c\right) \\
= \;& z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right)\left(z_1^k(z_1-z_0)^k Y(Y(a,z_0)b,z_1-z_0)c\right) \\
= \;& z_1^k z_2^k z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right) Y(Y(a,z_0)b,z_2)c. \qquad (2.2.21)
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides by $z_1^{-k}z_2^{-k}$, we obtain the Jacobi identity. □

In [B], Borcherds first defined the notion of vertex algebra with a set of axioms consisting of (V1), (V3), (V4), the skew-symmetry (2.2.5) and the iterate formula (2.2.9). In the skew-symmetry (2.2.5), the operator D is defined by $Da = a_{-2}\mathbf{1}$ for $a \in V$. It can be easily proved that (V2) follows from the other axioms of Borcherds'. Therefore, we have:

Corollary 2.2.7. *Borcherds' definition [B] and Definition 2.1 for a vertex superalgebra are equivalent.*

2.3 Modules for vertex superalgebras

Definition 2.3.1. Let $(V, \mathbf{1}, D, Y)$ be a vertex superalgebra. A V-module is a triple (M, d, Y_M) where M is a $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$-graded vector space, d is an endomorphism of M and Y_M is a linear map $Y_M(\cdot,z) : V \to (\mathrm{End}M)[[z,z^{-1}]]$; $a \mapsto Y_M(a,z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}} a_n z^{-n-1}$ (where $a_n \in \mathrm{End}M$) satisfying the following conditions:

$(M1)$ For any $a \in V, u \in M, a_n u = 0$ for n sufficient large;

$(M2)$ $Y_M(\mathbf{1},z) = \mathrm{id}_M$;

$(M3)$ $[d, Y_M(a,z)] = Y_M(D(a),z) = \dfrac{d}{dz}Y_M(a,z)$ for any $a \in V$;

$(M4)$ For $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$-homogeneous $a, b \in V$, the following Jacobi identity holds:

$$z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right) Y_M(a,z_1)Y_M(b,z_2) - \varepsilon_{a,b} z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2-z_1}{-z_0}\right) Y_M(b,z_2)Y_M(a,z_1)$$

$$= \quad z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2}\right) Y_M(Y(a, z_0)b, z_2). \tag{2.3.1}$$

This completes the definition of module for a vertex superalgebra. A V-module M is called a *faithful* module if $Y_M(\cdot, z)$ is injective. If V is a vertex operator superalgebra, a module M for V being a vertex superalgebra is called a *weak* module for V being a VOSA. A weak V-module M is said to be $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}$-*graded* if $M = \oplus_{n\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}} M(n)$ such that

$$(M5) \qquad a_m M(n) \subseteq M(n + r - m - 1) \quad \text{for } a \in V_{(r)}, m \in \mathbf{Z}, n, r \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}.$$

Furthermore, a weak V-module M is called a *generalized module* [HL] for V being a VOSA if $M = \oplus_{\alpha\in\mathbf{C}} M_{(\alpha)}$ such that

$(M6)$ $\quad L(0)u = \alpha u \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \mathbf{C}, u \in M_{(\alpha)},$

and a generalized module M is called a *module* for V being a VOSA if

$(M7)$ For any fixed α, $M_{(\alpha+n)} = 0 \quad$ for n sufficiently small;

$(M8)$ $\quad \dim M_{(\alpha)} < \infty \quad$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$.

If V is a vertex operator superalgebra, it is clear that any V-module is a direct sum of $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}$-graded modules which are truncated from below.

Remark 2.3.2. As having been noticed by many authors (see for example [HL]), the Virasoro algebra relation (V6) follows from the axioms (M2)-(M4). This simply follows from Remark 2.2.3 and the commutator formula (2.2.6).

It has been proved [FHL] that the rationality, the commutativity and the associativity in terms of "matrix coefficients" are equivalent to the Jacobi identity for vertex operator algebra and module. In fact, the part three of the proof of Proposition 2.2.4 gives a proof without involving "matrix-coefficients." Moreover, Proposition 2.2.6 proves the following proposition:

Proposition 2.3.3. *Let V be a vertex superalgebra. Then the Jacobi identity for V-module can be equivalently substituted by the associativity (2.2.9).*

Remark 2.3.4. Since the iterate formula (2.2.8) implies the associativity formula

(2.2.9), it follows from Proposition 2.3.3 that the iterate formula (2.2.8) imply the Jacobi identity for module of a vertex superalgebra.

For the rest of this subsection, we shall discuss some simple but important analogues from the classical associative algebra theory, which are useful in the study of module theory for some vertex operator algebras in Sections 4 and 5.

Let V be a vertex superalgebra and let M be a V-module. Let $a, b, c^0, \cdots, c^k$ be $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$-homogeneous elements of V. If M is faithful, it follows from the commutator formula (2.2.6) and Lemma 2.1.4 that

$$[Y_M(a,z_1), Y_M(b,z_2)] = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{1}{i!} z_1^{-i-1} \delta^{(i)}\left(\frac{z_2}{z_1}\right) Y_M(c^i, z_2) \tag{2.3.2}$$

if and only if

$$a_i b = 0 \ \text{ for } i > k \ \text{ and } a_i b = c^i \ \text{ for } i = 0, \cdots, k. \tag{2.3.3}$$

Then the following lemma is clear.

Lemma 2.3.5. *Let V be a vertex superalgebra and let $a, b, c^0, \cdots, c^k \in V$ be $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$-homogeneous elements such that*

$$[Y_V(a,z_1), Y_V(b,z_2)] = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{1}{i!} z_1^{-i-1} \delta^{(i)}\left(\frac{z_2}{z_1}\right) Y_V(c^i, z_2). \tag{2.3.4}$$

Then (2.3.2) holds for any V-module M. Conversely, let M be a faithful V-module such that (2.3.2) holds. Then (2.3.4) holds.

Noticing that V is a faithful V-module, we have: $[Y(a,z_1), Y(b,z_2)]_\pm = 0$ if and only if $a_i b = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbf{Z}_+$.

Proposition 2.3.6 [DL]. *Let V be any vertex operator algebra and let (W, Y_W) be any V-module. Let $v \in V$ be such that the component operators v_n $(n \in \mathbf{Z})$ all commute with one another, so that $Y_W(v,z)^N$ is well defined on W for $N \in \mathbf{N}$. Then*

$$Y_W((v_{-1})^N \mathbf{1}, z) = Y_W(v,z)^N. \tag{2.3.5}$$

In particular, if $(v_{-1})^N \mathbf{1} = 0$ *for a fixed* N*, then*

$$Y_W(v,z)^N = 0. \quad \square \tag{2.3.6}$$

Remark 2.3.7. More generally, let V be a vertex superalgebra and let v be an even or odd element of V such that $[Y_W(v,z_1), Y_W(v,z_2)]_\pm = 0$. Then the assertion of Proposition 2.3.6 still holds. Conversely, let M be a faithful V-module and let $v \in V$ such that $Y_M(v,z)^N = 0$ on M for $N \in \mathbf{N}$. Then $Y(v,z)^N = 0$ on V. The "two-wedged" formula (2.3.5) turns out to be very useful in Section 5.

Remark 2.3.8. Let A be an associative algebra and let $P(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ be a polynomial in non-commuting variables x_i's. Let $a_1, \cdots, a_n \in A$ be such that $P(a_1, \cdots, a_n) = 0$ on A. Then $P(a_1, \cdots, a_n) = 0$ on any A-module M. Conversely, let M be a faithful A-module and let $a_1, \cdots, a_n \in A$ such that $P(a_1, \cdots, a_n) = 0$ on M. Then $P(a_1, \cdots, a_n) = 0$ on A. From this point of view we may think of Lemma 2.3.5 and DL's Proposition 2.3.6 as complex analogues for vertex (operator) superalgebras of these classical facts.

3 Local systems of vertex operators

In this section, we shall introduce what we call "local systems of vertex operators" for any $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$-graded vector space M and present the main result of this paper. That is, any local system has a natural vertex superalgebra structure with M as a module and for a fixed vertex superalgebra V, giving a V-module M is equivalent to giving a vertex superalgebra homomorphism from V to some local system of vertex operators on M.

3.1 Weak vertex operators

Let us first define three categories C, C^o and C_ℓ. Define C to be the category with $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$-graded vector spaces as its objects and with $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$-homomorphisms as morphisms. We define C^o to be the category with obC^o consisting of (M, d) where M is an object of C and d is a morphism from M to M. A morphism from (M, d_1) to (W, d_2) is a morphism f from M to W such that $fd_1 = d_2f$. Recall that a Vir-module M is a *restricted* module if for any $u \in M$, $L(n)u = 0$ for n sufficiently large. For any complex number ℓ, we define C_ℓ to the category with restricted Vir-modules of central charge ℓ as its objects and with Vir-homomorphisms as morphisms.

Let $M = M^0 \oplus M^1$ be a $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$-graded vector space. Then $\mathrm{End}M = (\mathrm{End}M)^0 \oplus (\mathrm{End}M)^1$ is also a $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$-graded vector space where

$$(\mathrm{End}M)^0 = \{A \in \mathrm{End}M | AM^i \subseteq M^i \text{ for } i = 0, 1\}, \tag{3.1.1}$$

$$(\mathrm{End}M)^1 = \{A \in \mathrm{End}M | AM^0 \subseteq M^1, AM^1 \subseteq M^0\}. \tag{3.1.2}$$

Furthermore,

$$(\mathrm{End}M)[[z, z^{-1}]] = (\mathrm{End}M)^0[[z, z^{-1}]] \oplus (\mathrm{End}M)^1[[z, z^{-1}]] \tag{3.1.3}$$

is also a $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$-graded vector space. It is clear that the derivative operator $\dfrac{d}{dz}$ is an endomorphism of $(\mathrm{End}M)[[z, z^{-1}]]$, which preserves both the even subspace and the odd subspace.

Definition 3.1.1. Let M be any $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$-graded vector space. A *weak vertex operator* on M is a formal series $a(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}} a_n z^{-n-1} \in (\mathrm{End}\ M)[[z, z^{-1}]]$ such that

$$a(z)u \in M((z)) \quad \text{for any } u \in M. \tag{3.1.4}$$

That is, $a_n u = 0$ for n sufficiently large. Let (M, d) be a pair consisting of a $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$-graded vector space M and a $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$-endomorphism d of M. A *weak vertex operator on* (M, d) is a weak vertex operator $a(z)$ on M such that

$$[d, a(z)] = a'(z) \left(= \frac{d}{dz} a(z)\right). \tag{3.1.5}$$

Denote by $F(M)$ (resp. $F(M, d)$) the space of all weak vertex operators on M (resp. (M, d)). It is clear that both $F(M)$ and $F(M, d)$ are graded subspaces of $(\mathrm{End}M)[[z, z^{-1}]]$. It is easy to see that $F(M)$ coincides with $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(M, M((z)))$.

By definition, it is clear that if $a(z)$ is a weak vertex operator on M (resp. (M, d)), the formal derivative $a'(z)$ is also a weak vertex operator on M (resp. (M, d)). Then we have an endomorphism $D = \dfrac{d}{dz}$ for both $F(M)$ and $F(M, d)$.

Definition 3.1.2. Let M be a restricted Vir-module of central charge ℓ. A weak vertex operator $a(z)$ on $(M, L(-1))$ is said to be of *weight* $h \in \mathbf{C}$ if it satisfies the following condition:

$$[L(0), a(z)] = ha(z) + za'(z). \tag{3.1.6}$$

Denote by $F(M, L(-1))_{(h)}$ the space of weak vertex operators on $(M, L(-1))$ of weight h and set

$$F^o(M, L(-1)) = \oplus_{h\in\mathbf{C}} F(M, L(-1))_{(h)}. \tag{3.1.7}$$

Remark 3.1.3. For any super vector space M, the identity operator $I(z) = \mathrm{id}_M$ is a weak vertex operator on M. Let M be a restricted Vir-module. Then $I(z) = \mathrm{id}_M$ is a weak vertex operator on $(M, L(-1))$ of weight zero and $L(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}} L(n)z^{-n-2}$ is a

weak vertex operator on $(M, L(-1))$ of weight two. If $a(z)$ is an even (resp. odd) weak vertex operator on $(M, L(-1))$ of weight h, then $a'(z) = \dfrac{d}{dz}a(z)$ is an even (resp. odd) weak vertex operator of weight $h+1$.

Lemma 3.1.4. *Let M be a super vector space and let $a(z)$ and $b(z)$ be* (Z/2**Z**)*-homogeneous weak vertex operators on M. For any integer n, set*

$$a(z)_n b(z) = \mathrm{Res}_{z_1}\left((z_1 - z)^n a(z_1)b(z) - (-1)^{|a(z)||b(z)|}(-z + z_1)^n b(z)a(z_1)\right). \quad (3.1.8)$$

Then $a(z)_n b(z)$ is a (**Z**/2**Z**)*-homogeneous weak vertex operator satisfying $|a(z)_n b(z)| = |a(z)||b(z)|$.*

Proof. For any $u \in M$, by definition we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (a(z)_n b(z))u \\ = \ & \mathrm{Res}_{z_1}\left((z_1 - z)^n a(z_1)b(z)u - (-1)^{|a(z)||b(z)|}(-z + z_1)^n b(z)a(z_1)u\right) \\ = \ & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k} \left((-z)^k a_{n-k}b(z)u - (-1)^{|a(z)||b(z)|}(-z)^{n-k} b(z)a_k u\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1.9)$$

It is easy to see that $(a(z)_n b(z))u \in M((z))$. Therefore, $a(z)_n b(z)$ is a weak vertex operator on M. $\square$

Definition 3.1.5. Let M be a super vector space and let $a(z)$ and $b(z)$ be (Z/2**Z**)-homogeneous weak vertex operators on M. Then we define

$$\begin{aligned} & Y(a(z), z_0)b(z) \\ = \ & :\sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}} a(z)_n b(z) z_0^{-n-1} \\ = \ & \mathrm{Res}_{z_1}\left(z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z}{z_0}\right)a(z_1)b(z) - \varepsilon_{a,b} z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z - z_1}{-z_0}\right)b(z)a(z_1)\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1.10)$$

Extending the definition bilinearly, we obtain a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\cdot, z_0): \quad & F(M) \to (\mathrm{End}F(M))[[z_0, z_0^{-1}]]; \\ & a(z) \mapsto Y(a(z), z_0). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1.11)$$

Lemma 3.1.6. *For any $a(z) \in F(M)$, we have*

$$Y(I(z), z_0)a(z) = a(z); \tag{3.1.12}$$

$$Y(a(z), z_0)I(z) = e^{z_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial z}} a(z) \ (= a(z + z_0)). \tag{3.1.13}$$

Proof. By definition, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & Y(I(z), z_0)a(z) \\ = \ & \mathrm{Res}_{z_1} \left(z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z}{z_0} \right) a(z) - z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{-z + z_1}{z_0} \right) a(z) \right) \\ = \ & \mathrm{Res}_{z_1} z^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z} \right) a(z) \\ = \ & a(z) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & Y(a(z), z_0)I(z) \\ = \ & \mathrm{Res}_{z_1} \left(z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z}{z_0} \right) a(z_1)I(z) - z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{-z + z_1}{z_0} \right) I(z)a(z_1) \right) \\ = \ & \mathrm{Res}_{z_1} z^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z} \right) a(z_1) \\ = \ & \mathrm{Res}_{z_1} z_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z + z_0}{z_1} \right) a(z_1) \\ = \ & \mathrm{Res}_{z_1} z_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z + z_0}{z_1} \right) a(z + z_0) \\ = \ & a(z + z_0) \\ = \ & e^{z_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial z}} a(z). \quad \square \end{aligned} \tag{3.1.14}$$

Lemma 3.1.7. *Let $M \in obC$ and $a(z), b(z) \in F(M)$. Then we have*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_0} Y(a(z), z_0)b(z) = Y(D(a(z)), z_0)b(z) = [D, Y(a(z), z_0)]b(z). \tag{3.1.15}$$

Proof. Without losing generality, we may assume that both $a(z)$ and $b(z)$ are $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$-homogeneous. By definition, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_0} Y(a(z), z_0)b(z)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \mathrm{Res}_{z_1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_0}\left(z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z}{z_0}\right)\right)a(z_1)b(z)-\varepsilon_{a,b}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_0}\left(z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{-z+z_1}{z_0}\right)\right)b(z)a(z_1)\right)\\
&= -\mathrm{Res}_{z_1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z}{z_0}\right)\right)a(z_1)b(z)\\
&\quad +\mathrm{Res}_{z_1}\varepsilon_{a,b}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{-z+z_1}{z_0}\right)\right)b(z)a(z_1)\ \text{(by Lemma 2.1.1)}\\
&= \mathrm{Res}_{z_1}\left(z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z}{z_0}\right)a'(z_1)b(z)-\varepsilon_{a,b}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{-z+z_1}{z_0}\right)b(z)a'(z_1)\right)\\
&= Y(a'(z),z_0)b(z) \qquad (3.1.16)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[D,Y(a(z),z_0)]b(z)\\
&= D(Y(a(z),z_0)b(z))-Y(a(z),z_0)Db(z)\\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(Y(a(z),z_0)b(z))-Y(a(z),z_0)b'(z)\\
&= \mathrm{Res}_{z_1}\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z}{z_0}\right)\right)a(z_1)b(z)-\varepsilon_{a,b}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z-z_1}{-z_0}\right)\right)b(z)a(z_1)\right)\\
&= \mathrm{Res}_{z_1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_0}\left(z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z}{z_0}\right)\right)a(z_1)b(z)-\varepsilon_{a,b}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_0}\left(z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z-z_1}{-z_0}\right)\right)b(z)a(z_1)\right)\\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial z_0}Y(a(z),z_0)b(z)\\
&= Y(a'(z),z_0)b(z)\\
&= Y(D\cdot a(z),z_0)b(z). \quad \square \qquad (3.1.17)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.1.8. *Let (M,d) be an object of C^o and let $a(z),b(z)\in F(M,d)$. Then $a(z)_nb(z)\in F(M,d)$. Furthermore, if M is a restricted Vir-module with central charge ℓ and $a(z),b(z)$ are weak vertex operators on $(M,L(-1))$ of weights α,β, respectively, then for any integer n, $a(z)_nb(z)$ is a weak vertex operator of weight $(\alpha+\beta-n-1)$ on $(M,L(-1))$.*

Proof. It is equivalent to prove the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[L(-1),Y(a(z),z_0)b(z)]=\frac{\partial}{\partial z}(Y(a(z),z_0)b(z)); \qquad (3.1.18)\\
&[L(0),Y(a(z),z_0)b(z)]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$= \quad (\alpha+\beta)Y(a(z),z_0)b(z)+z_0\frac{\partial}{\partial z_0}(Y(a(z),z_0)b(z))+z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}(Y(a(z),z_0)b(z) \quad (3.1.19)$$

Without losing generality we may assume that both $a(z)$ and $b(z)$ are homogeneous. By definition, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial}{\partial z}(Y(a(z),z_0)b(z))\\
=\ &\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\mathrm{Res}_{z_1}\left(z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z}{z_0}\right)a(z_1)b(z)-\varepsilon_{a,b}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z-z_1}{-z_0}\right)b(z)a(z_1)\right)\\
=\ &\mathrm{Res}_{z_1}\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z}{z_0}\right)\right)a(z_1)b(z)-\varepsilon_{a,b}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z-z_1}{-z_0}\right)\right)b(z)a(z_1)\right)\\
&+\mathrm{Res}_{z_1}\left(\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z}{z_0}\right)a(z_1)b'(z)-\varepsilon_{a,b}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z-z_1}{-z_0}\right)b'(z)a(z_1)\right)\\
=\ &-\mathrm{Res}_{z_1}\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z}{z_0}\right)\right)a(z_1)b(z)-\varepsilon_{a,b}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z}{z_0}\right)\right)b(z)a(z_1)\right)\\
&+\mathrm{Res}_{z_1}\left(z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z}{z_0}\right)a(z_1)b'(z)-\varepsilon_{a,b}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z}{z_0}\right)b'(z)a(z_1)\right)\\
=\ &\mathrm{Res}_{z_1}\left(z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z}{z_0}\right)a(z_1)b'(z)-\varepsilon_{a,b}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z-z_1}{-z_0}\right)b'(z)a(z_1)\right)\\
&-\mathrm{Res}_{z_1}\left(z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z}{z_0}\right)a'(z_1)b(z)-\varepsilon_{a,b}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z-z_1}{-z_0}\right)b(z)a'(z_1)\right)\\
=\ &[L(-1),Y(a(z),z_0)b(z)]
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&[L(0),Y(a(z),z_0)b(z)]\\
=\ &\mathrm{Res}_{z_1}\left(z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z}{z_0}\right)[L(0),a(z_1)b(z)]-\varepsilon_{a,b}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z-z_1}{-z_0}\right)[L(0),b(z)a(z_1)]\right)\\
=\ &\mathrm{Res}_{z_1}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z}{z_0}\right)(a(z_1)[L(0),b(z)]+[L(0),a(z_1)]b(z))\\
&-\mathrm{Res}_{z_1}\varepsilon_{a,b}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z-z_1}{-z_0}\right)(b(z)[L(0),a(z_1)]+[L(0),b(z)]a(z_1))\\
=\ &\mathrm{Res}_{z_1}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z}{z_0}\right)(\beta a(z_1)b(z)+za(z_1)b'(z)+\alpha a(z_1)b(z)+z_1a'(z_1)b(z))\\
&-\mathrm{Res}_{z_1}\varepsilon_{a,b}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z-z_1}{-z_0}\right)(\alpha b(z)a(z_1)+z_1b(z)a'(z_1)+\beta b(z)a(z_1)+zb'(z)a(z_1))\\
=\ &(\alpha+\beta)Y(a(z),z_0)b(z)\\
&+\mathrm{Res}_{z_1}\left(z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z}{z_0}\right)za(z_1)b'(z)-\varepsilon_{a,b}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z-z_1}{-z_0}\right)zb'(z)a(z_1)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\mathrm{Res}_{z_1}\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}z_1z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z}{z_0}\right)\right)a(z_1)b(z)-\varepsilon_{a,b}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}z_1z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z-z_1}{-z_0}\right)\right)b(z)a(z_1)\right)\\
= \;& (\alpha+\beta)Y(a(z),z_0)b(z)\\
& +\mathrm{Res}_{z_1}\left(z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z}{z_0}\right)za(z_1)b'(z)-\varepsilon_{a,b}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z-z_1}{-z_0}\right)zb'(z)a(z_1)\right)\\
& -\mathrm{Res}_{z_1}(z_0+z)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z}{z_0}\right)\right)a(z_1)b(z)\\
& +\mathrm{Res}_{z_1}(z_0+z)\varepsilon_{a,b}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z-z_1}{-z_0}\right)\right)b(z)a(z_1)\\
= \;& (\alpha+\beta)Y(a(z),z_0)b(z)\\
& +\mathrm{Res}_{z_1}\left(z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z}{z_0}\right)za(z_1)b'(z)-\varepsilon_{a,b}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z-z_1}{-z_0}\right)zb'(z)a(z_1)\right)\\
& +\mathrm{Res}_{z_1}z_0\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_0}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z}{z_0}\right)\right)a(z_1)b(z)-\varepsilon_{a,b}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z-z_1}{-z_0}\right)\right)b(z)a(z_1)\right)\\
& +\mathrm{Res}_{z_1}z\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z}{z_0}\right)\right)a(z_1)b(z)-\varepsilon_{a,b}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z-z_1}{-z_0}\right)\right)b(z)a(z_1)\right)\\
= \;& (\alpha+\beta)Y(a(z),z_0)b(z)+z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(Y(a(z),z_0)b(z)\right)+z_0\frac{\partial}{\partial z_0}\left(Y(a(z),z_0)b(z)\right). \quad \square
\end{aligned}
$$

3.2 Vertex operators and local systems

The following definition is motivated by physicists' work for example [Go].

Definition 3.2.1. Two $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$-homogeneous weak vertex operators $a(z_1)$ and $b(z_2)$ are said to be *mutually local* if there a positive integer n such that

$$(z_1-z_2)^n a(z_1)b(z_2)=(-1)^{|a(z)|b(z)|}(z_1-z_2)^n b(z_2)a(z_1). \tag{3.2.1}$$

A $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$-homogeneous weak vertex operator is called a *vertex operator* if it is local with itself, a graded subspace A of $F(M)$ is said to be *local* if any two $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$-homogeneous weak vertex operators in A are mutually local, and *a local system* of vertex operators on M is a maximal local (graded) space of $F(M)$.

Remark 3.2.2. Let V be a vertex superalgebra and let (M,Y_M) be a V-module. Then the image of V under the linear map $Y_M(\cdot,z)$ is a local subspace of $F(M)$.

Remark 3.2.3. Let M be a super vector space and let $a(z)$ and $b(z)$ be homogeneous mutually local weak vertex operators on M. Let k be a positive integer satisfying (3.2.1). Then $a(z)_n b(z) = 0$ whenever $n \geq k$. Thus $Y(a(z), z_0)b(z)$ involves only finitely many negative powers of z_0. (This corresponds to the truncation condition (V1).)

Lemma 3.2.4. *If $a(z_1)$ is local with $b(z_2)$, then $a(z_1)$ is local with $b'(z_2)$.*

Proof. Let n be a positive integer such that (3.2.1) holds. Then

$$(z_1 - z_2)^{n+1} a(z_1)b(z_2) = (-1)^{|a(z)||b(z)|}(z_1 - z_2)^{n+1} b(z_2)a(z_1). \tag{3.2.2}$$

Differentiating (3.2.2) with respect to z_2, then using (3.2.1) we obtain

$$(z_1 - z_2)^{n+1} a(z_1)b'(z_2) = (-1)^{|a(z)||b(z)|}(z_1 - z_2)^{n+1} b'(z_2)a(z_1). \quad \square \tag{3.2.3}$$

Remark 3.2.5. For any super vector space M, it follows from Zorn's lemma that there always exist local systems of vertex operators on M. Since the identity operator $I(z) = \mathrm{id}_M$ is mutually local with any weak vertex operator on M, any local system contains $I(z)$. From Remark 3.1.3 and Lemma 3.2.4, any local system is closed under the derivative operator $D = \dfrac{d}{dz}$.

Lemma 3.2.6. *Let M be a restricted Vir-module with central charge ℓ. Then $L(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}} L(n)z^{-n-2}$ is an even (local) vertex operator on $(M, L(-1))$ of weight two.*

Proof. It follows from Remark 2.2.3 and Lemma 2.1.3 that

$$(z_1 - z_2)^k [L(z_1), L(z_2)] = 0 \quad \text{for } k \geq 4. \tag{3.2.4}$$

Then $L(z)$ is a local vertex operator on M. $\square$

The proof of the following proposition was given by Professor Chongying Dong.

Proposition 3.2.7. *Let $a(z)$, $b(z)$ and $c(z)$ be $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$-homogeneous weak vertex operators on M. Suppose both $a(z)$ and $b(z)$ are local with $c(z)$. Then $a(z)_n b(z)$ is local with $c(z)$ for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$.*

Proof. Let r be a positive integer greater than $-n$ such that the following identities hold:

$$(z_1-z_2)^r a(z_1)b(z_2) = \varepsilon_{a,b}(z_1-z_2)^r b(z_2)a(z_1),$$
$$(z_1-z_2)^r a(z_1)c(z_2) = \varepsilon_{a,c}(z_1-z_2)^r c(z_2)a(z_1),$$
$$(z_1-z_2)^r b(z_1)c(z_2) = \varepsilon_{b,c}(z_1-z_2)^r c(z_2)b(z_1).$$

By definition, we have

$$a(z)_n b(z) = \mathrm{Res}_{z_1}\left((z_1-z)^n a(z_1)b(z) - \varepsilon_{a,b}(-z+z_1)^n b(z)a(z_1)\right). \tag{3.2.5}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& (z-z_3)^{4r}\left((z_1-z)^n a(z_1)b(z)c(z_3) - \varepsilon_{a,b}(-z+z_1)^n b(z)a(z_1)c(z_3)\right)\\
= & \sum_{s=0}^{3r}\binom{3r}{s}(z-z_1)^{3r-s}(z_1-z_3)^s(z-z_3)^r\cdot\\
& \cdot\left((z_1-z)^n a(z_1)b(z)c(z_3) - \varepsilon_{a,b}(-z+z_1)^n b(z)a(z_1)c(z_3)\right)\\
= & \sum_{s=r+1}^{3r}\binom{3r}{s}(z-z_1)^{3r-s}(z_1-z_3)^s(z-z_3)^r\cdot\\
& \cdot\left((z_1-z)^n a(z_1)b(z)c(z_3) - \varepsilon_{a,b}(-z+z_1)^n b(z)a(z_1)c(z_3)\right)\\
= & \sum_{s=r+1}^{3r}\binom{3r}{s}(z-z_1)^{3r-s}(z_1-z_3)^s(z-z_3)^r\cdot\\
& \cdot\varepsilon_{a,c}\varepsilon_{b,c}\left((z_1-z)^n c(z_3)a(z_1)b(z) - \varepsilon_{a,b}(-z+z_1)^n c(z_3)b(z)a(z_1)\right)\\
= & \varepsilon_{a,c}\varepsilon_{b,c}(z-z_3)^{4r}\left((z_1-z)^n c(z_3)a(z_1)b(z) - \varepsilon_{a,b}(-z+z_1)^n c(z_3)b(z)a(z_1)\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.6}$$

we have

$$(z-z_3)^{4r}(a(z)_n b(z))c(z_3) = \varepsilon_{a,c}\varepsilon_{b,c}(z-z_3)^{4r}c(z_3)(a(z)_n b(z)). \quad \square \tag{3.2.7}$$

Remark 3.2.8. Let M be any super vector space and let V be any local system of vertex operators on M. Then it follows from Proposition 3.2.7, Remarks 3.2.3 and

3.2.5 and Lemmas 3.1.6 and 3.1.7 that the quadruple $(V, I(z), D, Y)$ satisfies (V1)-(V4) of Definition 2.2.1.

Proposition 3.2.9. *Let V be any local system of vertex operators on M. Then for any vertex operators $a(z)$ and $b(z)$ in V, $Y(a(z), z_1)$ and $Y(b(z), z_2)$ are mutually local on (V, D).*

Proof. Let $c(z)$ be any homogeneous weak vertex operator on M. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Y(a(z), z_3)Y(b(z), z_0)c(z_2) \\ = \ & \mathrm{Res}_{z_1} z_3^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_3}\right) a(z_1)(Y(b(z), z_0)c(z_2)) \\ & -\varepsilon_{a,b}\varepsilon_{a,c} z_3^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{-z_2 + z_1}{z_3}\right)(Y(b(z), z_0)c(z_2))a(z_1) \\ = \ & \mathrm{Res}_{z_1}\mathrm{Res}_{z_4} A \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A \ = \ & z_3^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_3}\right) z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_4 - z_2}{z_0}\right) a(z_1)b(z_4)c(z_2) \\ & -\varepsilon_{b,c} z_3^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_3}\right) z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{-z_2 + z_4}{z_0}\right) a(z_1)c(z_2)b(z_4) \\ & -\varepsilon_{a,b}\varepsilon_{a,c} z_3^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{-z_2 + z_1}{z_3}\right) z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_4 - z_2}{z_0}\right) b(z_4)c(z_2)a(z_1) \\ & +\varepsilon_{a,b}\varepsilon_{a,c}\varepsilon_{b,c} z_3^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{-z_2 + z_1}{z_3}\right) z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{-z_2 + z_4}{z_0}\right) c(z_2)b(z_4)a(z_1). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$Y(b(z), z_0)Y(a(z), z_3)c(z_2) = \mathrm{Res}_{z_1}\mathrm{Res}_{z_4} B \tag{3.2.8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B \ = \ & z_3^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_3}\right) z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_4 - z_2}{z_0}\right) b(z_4)a(z_1)c(z_2) \\ & -\varepsilon_{a,c} z_3^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{-z_2 + z_1}{z_3}\right) z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_4 - z_2}{z_0}\right) b(z_4)c(z_2)a(z_1) \\ & -\varepsilon_{a,b}\varepsilon_{b,c} z_3^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_3}\right) z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{-z_2 + z_4}{z_0}\right) a(z_1)c(z_2)b(z_4) \\ & +\varepsilon_{a,b}\varepsilon_{b,c}\varepsilon_{a,c} z_3^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{-z_2 + z_1}{z_3}\right) z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{-z_2 + z_4}{z_0}\right) c(z_2)a(z_1)b(z_4). \end{aligned}$$

Let k be any positive integer such that

$$(z_1 - z_4)^k a(z_1)b(z_4) = \varepsilon_{a,b}(z_1 - z_4)^k b(z_4)a(z_1).$$

Since

$$(z_3 - z_0)^k z_3^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_3}\right) z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_4 - z_2}{z_0}\right) = (z_1 - z_4)^k z_3^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_3}\right) z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_4 - z_2}{z_0}\right),$$

it is clear that locality of $a(z)$ with $b(z)$ implies the locality of $Y(a(z), z_1)$ with $Y(b(z), z_2)$. □

Now, we are ready to present our main theorem:

Theorem 3.2.10. *Let M be any $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$-graded vector space and let V be any local system of vertex operators on M. Then V is a vertex superalgebra and M satisfies all the conditions for module except the existence of d in (M2). If V is a local system on (M, d), then (M, d) is a V-module.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 2.2.4, Remark 3.2.8 and Proposition 3.2.9 that V is a vertex superalgebra. It follows from Proposition 2.3.3 and Remark 2.3.3 that M is a V-module through the linear map $Y_M(a(z), z_0) = a(z_0)$ for $a(z) \in V$. □

Corollary 3.2.11. *Let M be any $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$-graded vector space and let S be any set of mutually local homogeneous vertex operators on M. Let $< S >$ be the subspace of $F(M)$ generated by $S \cup \{I(z)\}$ under the vertex operator multiplication (3.1.10) (or (3.1.8) for components). Then $(< S >, I(z), D, Y)$ is a vertex superalgebra with M as a module.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 3.2.7 that $< S >$ is a local subspace of $F(M)$. Let A be a local system containing $< S >$ as a subspace. Then by Theorem 3.2.10, A is a vertex superalgebra with M as a module. Since $< S >$ is closed under (3.2.10), $< S >$ is a vertex subalgebra. Since the "multiplication" (3.1.10) does not depend on the choice of the local system A, $\langle S\rangle$ is canonical. □

Proposition 3.2.12. *Let M be a restricted Vir-module with central charge ℓ and let V be a local system of vertex operators on $(M, L(-1))$, containing $L(z)$. Then the vertex operator $L(z)$ is a Virasoro element of the vertex superalgebra V.*

Proof. First, by Theorem 3.2.10 V is a vertex superalgebra with M as a V-module. Set $\omega = L(z) \in V$. By Lemma 2.3.7, the components of vertex operator $Y(\omega, z_0)$ give rise to a representation on V of central charge ℓ for the Virasoro algebra Vir. For any $a(z) \in V_{(h)}$, by definition we have

$$L(z)_0 a(z) = [L(-1), a(z)] = a'(z); \tag{3.2.9}$$

$$L(z)_1 a(z) = [L(0), a(z)] - z^{-1}[L(-1), a(z)] = ha(z). \tag{3.2.10}$$

Therefore V satisfies all conditions for a vertex operator superalgebra except the requirements on the homogeneous subspaces. $\square$

Let V be a vertex (operator) superalgebra and let (M, d) be a V-module. Then the image $\bar{V}$ of V inside $F(M, d)$ is a graded local subspace. By Zorn's lemma, there exists a local system A containing $\bar{V}$ as a subspace. From the vacuum property (M2) we have:

$$Y_M(\cdot, z)(\mathbf{1}) = Y_M(\mathbf{1}, z) = \mathrm{id}_M = I(z). \tag{3.2.11}$$

For any $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$-homogeneous elements $a, b \in V$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& Y_M(\cdot, z)(Y(a, z_0)b) \\
= \;& Y_M(Y(a, z_0)b, z) \\
= \;& \mathrm{Res}_{z_1}\left(z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z}{z_0}\right) Y_M(a, z_1)Y_M(b, z) - \varepsilon_{a,b} z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z - z_1}{-z_0}\right) Y_M(b, z)Y_M(a, z_1)\right) \\
= \;& Y_A(Y_M(a, z), z_0)Y_M(b, z).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.12}$$

Thus $Y_M(\cdot, z)$ is a vertex superalgebra homomorphism from V to A. Conversely, let ϕ be a vertex superalgebra homomorphism from V to some local system A of vertex operators on (M, d). Since $\phi(u) \in A \in (\mathrm{End}M)[[z, z^{-1}]]$ for any $u \in V$, we use ϕ_z for ϕ to indicate the dependence of $\phi(u)$ on z. For any formal variable z_1, set $\phi_{z_1}(a) = \phi_z(a)|_{z=z_1}$ for any $a \in V$. We define $Y_M(a, z)u = \phi_z(a)$ for $a \in V$. By definition we have:

$$Y_M(\mathbf{1}, z) = \phi_z(\mathbf{1}) = I(z) = \mathrm{id}_M. \tag{3.2.13}$$

For $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$-homogeneous elements $a, b \in V$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& Y_M(Y(a,z_0)b,z_2) \\
= \;& \phi_z(Y(a,z_0)b)|_{z=z_2} \\
= \;& (Y_A(\phi_z(a),z_0)\phi_z(b))\,|_{z=z_2} \\
= \;& \mathrm{Res}_{z_1}\left(z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right)\phi_{z_1}(a)\phi_{z_2}(b) - \varepsilon_{a,b}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2-z_1}{-z_0}\right)\phi_{z_2}(b)\phi_{z_1}(a)\right) \\
= \;& \mathrm{Res}_{z_1}\left(z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right)Y_M(a,z_1)Y_M(b,z_2) - \varepsilon_{a,b}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2-z_1}{-z_0}\right)Y_M(b,z_2)Y_M(a,z_1)\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.14}$$

It follows from Remark 2.3.4 that (M, d, Y_M) is a V-module. Therefore, we have proved:

Proposition 3.2.13. *Let V be a vertex (operator) superalgebra. Then giving a V-module (M, d) is equivalent to giving a vertex superalgebra homomorphism from V to some local system of vertex operators on (M, d).*

4 Vertex operator superalgebras and modules associated to some infinite-dimensional affine Lie superalgebras

In this section, we shall use the machinery we built in Section 3 to study vertex operator superalgebras and modules associated to the representations for some well-known infinite-dimensional Lie algebras or Lie superalgebras such as the Virasoro algebra, the Neveu-Schwarz algebra and affine Lie superalgebras.

4.1 Vertex operator algebras associated to the Virasoro algebra

Let us start with an abstract result which will be used in this section and the next section.

Proposition 4.1.1. *Let $(V, D, \mathbf{1}, Y)$ be a vertex superalgebra and let (M, d, Y_M) be a V-module. Let $u \in M$ such that $du = 0$. Then the linear map*

$$f : V \to M; a \mapsto a_{-1}u \quad \text{for } a \in V, \tag{4.1.1}$$

is a V-homomorphism.

Proof. It follows from the proof of Proposition 3.4 [L1]. □

For any complex numbers c and h, let $M(c, h)$ be the Verma module for the Virasoro algebra Vir with central charge c and with lowest weight h. Let $\mathbf{1}$ be a lowest weight vector of $M(c, 0)$. Then $L(-1)\mathbf{1}$ is a singular vector, i.e., $L(n)\mathbf{1} = 0$ for $n \geq 1$. Set $\bar{M}(c, 0) = M(c, 0)/ < L(-1)\mathbf{1} >$, where $< L(-1)\mathbf{1} >$ denotes the submodule of $M(c, 0)$ generated by $L(-1)\mathbf{1}$. Denote by $L(c, h)$ the (unique) irreducible quotient module of $M(c, h)$. By slightly abusing notations, we still use $\mathbf{1}$ for the image of $\mathbf{1}$ for both $\bar{M}(c, 0)$ and $L(c, 0)$.

Proposition 4.1.2. *For any complex number c, $\bar{M}(c, 0)$ has a natural vertex operator algebra structure and any restricted Vir-module M of central charge c is a weak $\bar{M}(c, 0)$-module. In particular, for any complex number h, $M(c, h)$ is a $\bar{M}(c, 0)$-module.*

Proof. Let M be any restricted Vir-module with central charge c. Then $\bar{M}(c,0) \oplus M$ is a restricted Vir-module. By Lemma 3.2.6, $L(z)$ is an even local vertex operator on $(\bar{M}(c,0) \oplus M, L(-1))$. Then by Corollary 3.2.11, $V =< L(z) >$ is a vertex algebra with $\bar{M}(c,0) \oplus M$ as a module. Consequently, both $\bar{M}(c,0)$ and M are V-modules. By Lemma 2.3.5, the components of $Y(L(z), z_0)$ on V satisfy the Virasoro relation. Since $L(z)_n I(z) = 0$ for $n \geq 0$, V is a lowest weight Vir-module with lowest weight 0, so that V is a quotient module of $\bar{M}(c,0)$. Let $\mathbf{1}$ be a lowest weight vector of $\bar{M}(c,0)$. Since $L(-1)\mathbf{1} = 0$, by Proposition 4.1.1, we have a V-homomorphism from V to $\bar{M}(c,0)$ mapping $I(z)$ to $\mathbf{1}$. Then it follows that V is isomorphic to $\bar{M}(c,0)$. Therefore $\bar{M}(c,0)$ is a vertex operator algebra and any restricted Vir-module M is a weak module. $\square$

Remark 4.1.3. It follows that $L(c,0)$ is a quotient vertex operator algebra of $\bar{M}(c,0)$. It follows from Kac determinant formula that $L(c,0) = \bar{M}(c,0)$ for generic c. If c is among a certain discrete series of complex numbers, $L(c,0) \neq \bar{M}(c,0)$. In this case, there are only finitely many irreducible $L(c,0)$-modules (up to equivalence) [Wang].

4.2 Vertex operator superalgebras and modules associated to the Neveu-Schwarz algebra

Let us first recall the definition of the Neveu-Schwarz algebra (cf. [FFR], [KW], [T]). The Neveu-Schwarz algebra is the Lie superalgebra

$$NS = \oplus_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{C} L(m) \oplus \oplus_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{C} G(n + \frac{1}{2}) \oplus \mathbf{C} c \tag{4.2.1}$$

with the following commutation relations:

$$[L(m), L(n)] = (m-n)L(m+n) + \frac{m^3 - m}{12} \delta_{m+n,0} c, \tag{4.2.2}$$

$$[L(m), G(n + \frac{1}{2})] = \left(\frac{m}{2} - n - \frac{1}{2}\right) G(m + n + \frac{1}{2}), \tag{4.2.3}$$

$$[G(m + \frac{1}{2}), G(n - \frac{1}{2})]_+ = 2L(m+n) + \frac{1}{3} m(m+1) \delta_{m+n,0} c, \tag{4.2.4}$$

$$[L(m), c] = 0, \ [G(n + \frac{1}{2}), c] = 0. \tag{4.2.5}$$

By definition, $NS^0 = \oplus_{m\in\mathbf{Z}}\mathbf{C}L(m)\oplus\mathbf{C}c$ and $NS^1 = \oplus_{n\in\mathbf{Z}}\mathbf{C}G(n+\frac{1}{2})$. We define

$$\deg L(m) = m,\ \deg c = 0,\ \deg G(n) = n \quad \text{for } m\in Z, n\in\frac{1}{2}+\mathbf{Z}. \tag{4.2.6}$$

Then $NS = \oplus_{n\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}}NS_n$ becomes a $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}$-graded Lie superalgebra. Set

$$NS_\pm = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(\mathbf{C}L(\pm n)+\mathbf{C}G(\pm n\mp\frac{1}{2})),\ NS_0 = \mathbf{C}L(0)\oplus\mathbf{C}c. \tag{4.2.7}$$

Then we have the triangular decomposition $NS = NS_+\oplus NS_0\oplus NS_+$.

Set

$$L(z) = \sum_{m\in\mathbf{Z}}L(m)z^{-m-2},\ G(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}}G(n+\frac{1}{2})z^{-n-2}. \tag{4.2.8}$$

Then we have:

$$[L(z_1),L(z_2)] = z_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2}{z_1}\right)L'(z_2)+2z_1^{-2}\delta'\left(\frac{z_2}{z_1}\right)L(z_2)+\frac{\ell}{12}z_1^{-4}\delta^{(3)}\left(\frac{z_2}{z_1}\right) \tag{4.2.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&[L(z_1),G(z_2)]\\
=\ &\sum_{m,n\in\mathbf{Z}}\left(\frac{m}{2}-n-\frac{1}{2}\right)G(m+n+\frac{1}{2})z_1^{-m-2}z_2^{-n-2}\\
=\ &\sum_{m,n\in\mathbf{Z}}(-m-n-2)G(m+n+\frac{1}{2})z_2^{-m-n-3}z_1^{-1}\left(\frac{z_2}{z_1}\right)^{m+1}\\
&+\sum_{m,n\in\mathbf{Z}}\frac{3}{2}(m+1)G(m+n+\frac{1}{2})z_2^{-m-n-2}z_1^{-2}\left(\frac{z_2}{z_1}\right)^{m}\\
=\ &z_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2}{z_1}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}G(z_2)+\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}z_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2}{z_1}\right)\right)G(z_2)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2.10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&[G(z_1),G(z_2)]_+\\
=\ &\sum_{m,n\in\mathbf{Z}}[G(m+\frac{1}{2}),G(n-\frac{1}{2})]_+z_1^{-m-2}z_2^{-n-1}\\
=\ &\sum_{m,n\in\mathbf{Z}}2L(m+n)z_2^{-m-n-2}z_1^{-1}\left(\frac{z_2}{z_1}\right)^{m+1}+\sum_{m\in\mathbf{Z}}\frac{1}{3}m(m+1)cz_1^{-m-2}z_2^{m-1}\\
=2\ &z_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2}{z_1}\right)L(z_2)+\frac{1}{3}c\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}\right)^2z_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2}{z_1}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2.11}$$

It is clear that (4.2.2)-(4.2.4) are equivalent to (4.2.9)-(4.2.11), respectively.

For any complex numbers c and h, let $M_{c,h}$ be the Verma module over NS with lowest weight h and with central charge c. Then there exists a unique maximal proper submodule $J_{c,h}$ of $M_{c,h}$. Denote the quotient module $M_{c,h}/J_{c,h}$ by $L_{c,h}$. Recall that $v \in M_{c,h}$ is called a singular vector if $NS_+v = 0$ and v is an eigenvector of $L(0)$. It is easy to see that $G(-\frac{1}{2})\mathbf{1}$ is a singular vector of $M_{c,0}$ for any c. Denote the quotient module $M_{c,0}/\langle G(-\frac{1}{2})\mathbf{1}\rangle$ by M_c, where $\langle G(-\frac{1}{2})1\rangle$ is the submodule of $M_{c,0}$ generated by the singular vector $G(-\frac{1}{2})\mathbf{1}$.

Let M be any restricted module with a central charge c over the Neveu-Schwarz algebra NS. Then $L(z), G(z) \in F(M)$. By Lemma 2.1.3, we obtain

$$(z_1 - z_2)^2[L(z_1), G(z_2)] = 0 \tag{4.2.12}$$

$$(z_1 - z_2)^3[G(z_1), G(z_2)]_+ = 0. \tag{4.2.13}$$

Then $\{L(z), G(z)\}$ is a set of mutually local homogeneous vertex operators on $(M, L(-1))$. By Corollary 3.2.11, $V =< L(z), G(z) >$ is a vertex superalgebra with M as a V-module. By the same argument as one in proof of Proposition 4.1.2, we obtain

Proposition 4.2.1. *For any complex number c, M_c has a natural vertex operator superalgebra structure such that any restricted NS-module M with central charge c is a weak M_c-module.*

4.3 Vertex operator superalgebras associated to an affine Lie superalgebra

Let $(\mathbf{g}, B)$ be a pair consisting of a finite-dimensional Lie superalgebra $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{g}_0 \oplus \mathbf{g}_1$ such that $[\mathbf{g}_1, \mathbf{g}_1]_+ = 0$ and a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form B such that

$$B(\mathbf{g}_0, \mathbf{g}_1) = 0,\ B([a, u], v) = -B(u, [a, v]) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathbf{g}_0, u, v \in \mathbf{g}. \tag{4.3.1}$$

This amounts to having a finite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathbf{g}_0$ with a nondegenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form $B_0(\cdot, \cdot)$ and a finite-dimensional $\mathbf{g}_0$-module $\mathbf{g}_1$ with a non-

degenerate symmetric bilinear form $B_1(\cdot,\cdot)$ such that

$$B_1(au,v) = -B(u,av) \quad \text{for any } a \in \mathbf{g}_0, u, v \in \mathbf{g}_1. \tag{4.3.2}$$

Set

$$\tilde{\mathbf{g}} = \mathbf{C}[t,t^{-1}] \otimes \mathbf{g} \oplus \mathbf{C}c. \tag{4.3.3}$$

Then we define

$$[a_m, b_n] = [a,b]_{m+n} + m\delta_{m+n,0}\langle a,b\rangle c, \tag{4.3.4}$$

$$[a_m, u_n] = -[u_n, a_m] = (au)_{m+n}, \tag{4.3.5}$$

$$[u_m, v_n]_+ = \delta_{m+n+1,0}\langle u,v\rangle c, \tag{4.3.6}$$

$$[c, x_m] = 0 \tag{4.3.7}$$

for any $a, b \in \mathbf{g}_0, u, v \in \mathbf{g}_1, x \in \mathbf{g}$ and $m, n \in \mathbf{Z}$, where x_m stands for $t^m \otimes x$. It is easy to check that we obtain a Lie superalgebra $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ with

$$\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_0 = \mathbf{C}[t,t^{-1}] \otimes \mathbf{g}_0 \oplus \mathbf{C}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_1 = \mathbf{C}[t,t^{-1}] \otimes \mathbf{g}_1. \tag{4.3.8}$$

For any $x \in \mathbf{g}$, we set

$$x(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} x_n z^{-n-1}. \tag{4.3.9}$$

Then the defining relations (4.3.4)-(4.3.7) of $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ are equivalent to the following equations:

$$[a(z_1), b(z_2)] = z_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2}{z_1}\right)[a,b](z_2) + z_1^{-2}\delta'\left(\frac{z_2}{z_1}\right)\langle a,b\rangle c, \tag{4.3.10}$$

$$[a(z_1), u(z_2)] = z_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2}{z_1}\right)(au)(z_2), \tag{4.3.11}$$

$$[u(z_1), v(z_2)]_+ = \langle u,v\rangle z_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2}{z_1}\right)c, \tag{4.3.12}$$

$$[x(z), c] = 0 \tag{4.3.13}$$

for any $a, b \in \mathbf{g}_0, u, v \in \mathbf{g}_1, x \in \mathbf{g}, m, n \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Define

$$\deg a_m = -m,\ \deg u_n = -n + \frac{1}{2},\ \deg c = 0 \tag{4.3.14}$$

for any $a \in \mathbf{g}_0, u \in \mathbf{g}_1, m, n \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ is a $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}$-graded Lie superalgebra. Set

$$N_+ = t\mathbf{C}[t] \otimes \mathbf{g}_0 \oplus \mathbf{C}[t] \otimes \mathbf{g}_1,\ N_- = t^{-1}\mathbf{C}[t^{-1}] \otimes \mathbf{g},\ N_0 = \mathbf{g}_0 \oplus \mathbf{C}c. \tag{4.3.15}$$

Then we obtain a triangular decomposition $\tilde{\mathbf{g}} = N_+ \oplus N_0 \oplus N_-$. Let $P = N_+ + N_0$ be the parabolic subalgebra. For any $\mathbf{g}_0$-module U and any complex number ℓ, denote by $M_{(\mathbf{g},B)}(\ell, U)$ the generalized Verma module or Weyl module with c acting as scalar ℓ. Namely, $M_{(\mathbf{g},B)}(\ell, U) = U(\tilde{\mathbf{g}}) \otimes_{U(P)} U$. For any $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$-module M, we may consider $x(z)$ for $x \in \mathbf{g}$ as an element of $(\mathrm{End}M)[[z, z^{-1}]]$. Recall that a $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$-module M is said to be *restricted* if for any $u \in M$, $(t^k\mathbf{C}[t] \otimes \mathbf{g})u = 0$ for k sufficiently large. Then a $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$-module M is restricted if and only if $x(z)$ for all $x \in \mathbf{g}$ are weak vertex operators on M.

Theorem 4.3.1. *For any complex number ℓ, $M_{(\mathbf{g},B)}(\ell, \mathbf{C})$ has a natural vertex superalgebra structure and any restricted $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$-module M of level ℓ is a $M_{(\mathbf{g},B)}(\ell, \mathbf{C})$-module.*

Proof. Let M be any restricted $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$-module of level ℓ. Then $W = M_{(\mathbf{g},B)}(\ell, \mathbf{C}) \oplus M$ is also a restricted $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$-module of level ℓ. It follows from Lemma 2.1.3 and (4.3.10)-(4.3.12) that $\bar{\mathbf{g}} = \{a(z) | a \in \mathbf{g}\}$ is a local subspace of $F(W)$. Let V be the subspace of $F(W)$ generated by all $\bar{\mathbf{g}}$. Then by Corollary 3.2.11, V is a vertex superalgebra and W is a V-module. Consequently, both M and $M_{(\mathbf{g},B)}(\ell, \mathbf{C})$ are V-modules. It follows from Lemma 2.3.5 and (4.3.10)-(4.3.12) that V is a $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$-module (of level ℓ) with a vector $I(z)$ satisfying $P \cdot I(z) = 0$, so that V is a quotient $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$-module of $M_{(\mathbf{g},B)}(\ell, \mathbf{C})$.

To finish the proof, we only need to prove that V is isomorphic to $M_{(\mathbf{g},B)}(\ell, \mathbf{C})$ as a V-module. Let d be the endomorphism of $M_{(\mathbf{g},B)}(\ell, \mathbf{C})$ such that

$$d \cdot \mathbf{1} = 0,\ [d, a_m] = -ma_{m-1} \quad \text{for } a \in \mathbf{g}. \tag{4.3.16}$$

Then $[d, a(z)] = a'(z)$ for any $a \in \mathbf{g}$. Then $(M_{(\mathbf{g},B)}(\ell, \mathbf{C}), d)$ is a V-module. It follows

from Proposition 4.1.1 and the universal property of $M_{(\mathbf{g},B)}(\ell,\mathbf{C})$ that V and $M_{(\mathbf{g},B)}(\ell,\mathbf{C})$ are isomorphic V-modules. $\square$

Remark 4.3.2. It is clear that $M_{(\mathbf{g},B)}(\ell,\mathbf{C}) = M_{(\mathbf{g},\alpha B)}(\alpha^{-1}\ell,\mathbf{C})$ for any nonzero complex number α (see for example [Lian]).

In order to study $M_{(\mathbf{g},B)}(\ell,\mathbf{C})$ more closely, we first consider the following interesting special cases.

Case 1. Let $\mathbf{g}_0$ be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra with a fixed nondegenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form $B(\cdot,\cdot)$ and let $\mathbf{g}_1 = 0$. Then for any complex number ℓ, by Theorem 4.3.1 we obtain a vertex algebra $M_{(\mathbf{g},B)}(\ell,\mathbf{C})$.

Next, we shall show that if $\mathbf{g}$ is simple, $M_{(\mathbf{g},B)}(\ell,\mathbf{C})$ is a vertex operator algebra except for one ℓ. Let $\mathbf{g}$ be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra with a fixed Cartan subalgebra $\mathbf{h}$. Let Δ be the set of all roots, let Π be a set of simple roots, and let θ be the highest root. Let $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ be the normalized Killing form such that $\langle\theta,\theta\rangle = 2$. Then the Killing form on $\mathbf{g}$ is $2\Omega\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$, where Ω is the dual Coxeter number. Let u^i $(i = 1,\cdots,d)$ be an orthonormal basis for $\mathbf{g}$. Set

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2(\Omega+\ell)}\sum_{i=1}^{d} u^i(-1)u^i(-1)\mathbf{1} \in M_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell,\mathbf{C}). \tag{4.3.17}$$

Since we have already proved that $M_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell,\mathbf{C})$ has a vertex algebra structure, by a calculation in [DL] or [Lian], we have:

Proposition 4.3.3. *For any complex number $\ell \neq -\Omega$, $M_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell,\mathbf{C})$ is a vertex operator algebra of rank $\dfrac{d\ell}{\Omega+\ell}$ with the Virasoro element ω given by (4.3.17) and any restricted $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$-module M of level ℓ is a weak module for $M_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell,\mathbf{C})$ being a VOA. In particular, for any finite-dimensional $\mathbf{g}$-module U, $M_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell,U)$ is a module for $M_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell,\mathbf{C})$ being a VOA.*

Remark 4.3.4. If $\ell = -\Omega$ (which is called the critical level), then $Y(\omega,z)$ commutes with $a(z)$ for any $a \in \mathbf{g}$. A beautiful application of vertex algebra theory can be found in [FF] where it was proved that the center of $M(-\Omega,\mathbf{C})$ is linearly spanned by all lowest weight vectors (or singular vectors).

Case 2. Let $\mathbf{g}_1$ be a n-dimensional vector space with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ and let $\mathbf{g}_0 = 0$. Then by Theorem 4.3.1, $M_{\mathbf{g}_1}(1,\mathbf{C})$ is a vertex superalgebra. Since the vertex superalgebra $M_{\mathbf{g}_1}(1,\mathbf{C})$ only depends on the positive integer n (up to isomorphism), we set $\mathbf{F}^n = M_{\mathbf{g}_1}(1,\mathbf{C})$. Since $\mathbf{F}^n$ is an irreducible module for the Clifford algebra $U(\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_1)$, $\mathbf{F}^n$ is a simple vertex superalgebra. Let $\{u^1,\cdots,u^n\}$ be an orthonormal basis for $\mathbf{g}_1$ and set

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} u^i_{-2}u^i_{-1}\mathbf{1}. \tag{4.3.18}$$

Then for any $u \in \mathbf{g}_1$, we have:

$$u_0\omega = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_0u^i_{-2}u^i_{-1}\mathbf{1} = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\langle u,u^i\rangle u^i_{-2}\mathbf{1} = -\frac{1}{2}u_{-2}\mathbf{1} = -\frac{1}{2}Du, \tag{4.3.19}$$

$$u_1\omega = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_1u^i_{-2}u^i_{-1}\mathbf{1} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\langle u,u^i\rangle u^i_{-1}\mathbf{1} = \frac{1}{2}u \tag{4.3.20}$$

and

$$u_k\omega = 0 \quad \text{for any } k \geq 2. \tag{4.3.21}$$

By the commutator formula (2.2.6), we have:

$$[\omega_{m+1},u_n] = -[u_n,\omega_{m+1}] = -\left(n+\frac{m+1}{2}\right)u_{m+n} \tag{4.3.22}$$

for any $m,n \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Proposition 4.3.5. *$\mathbf{F}^n$ is a vertex operator superalgebra of rank $\frac{n}{2}$ with the Virasoro element ω given by (4.3.18). Furthermore, any lower truncated $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}$-graded $\mathbf{F}^n$-module is a direct sum of copies of adjoint module $\mathbf{F}^n$, i.e., $\mathbf{F}^n$ is rational in the sense of [Zhu].*

Proof. To prove that ω is a Virasoro element with central charge $\frac{n}{2}$, By Lemma 2.3.5 it is equivalent to check the following conditions:

$$Y(\omega_0a,z) = \frac{d}{dz}Y(a,z) \ \text{ for any } a \in \mathbf{F}^n, \tag{4.3.23}$$

$$\omega_1\omega = 2\omega,\ \omega_2\omega = 0,\ \omega_3\omega = \frac{n}{4},\ \omega_m\omega = 0 \ \text{ for } m \geq 4. \tag{4.3.24}$$

These can be easily obtained by using (4.3.22) and (4.3.18). Let M be any lower truncated $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}$-graded $\mathbf{F}^n$-module. Then for any $u \in M$, there is a positive integer k such that

$$(v^1)_{n_1}(v^2)_{n_2}\cdots(v^m)_{n_m}u = 0 \quad \text{if } n_1+\cdots+n_m > k \text{ for any } v^i \in \mathbf{g}_1. \tag{4.3.25}$$

Then the complete reducibility follows from a standard theorem. $\square$

Case 3. Let $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{g}_0 \oplus \mathbf{g}_1$ where $\mathbf{g}_0$ is a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra with the normalized Killing form $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_0$ as in Case 1 and $\mathbf{g}_1$ is a finite-dimensional $\mathbf{g}_0$-module with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_1$ such that $\langle au, v\rangle = -\langle u, av\rangle$ for any $a \in \mathbf{g}_0, u, v \in \mathbf{g}_1$. Set $B(\cdot,\cdot) = \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_0 \oplus \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_1$.

Let V^1 and V^2 be two vertex superalgebras. Then it is well known ([B], [FHL]) that $V^1 \otimes V^2$ has a vertex superalgebra structure. We shall prove that if $\ell \neq 0$, $M_{(\mathbf{g},B)}(\ell, \mathbf{C})$ is isomorphic to the tensor product vertex superalgebra of a vertex algebra $M_{(\mathbf{g}_0,B')}(1,\mathbf{C})$ with the vertex operator superalgebra $\mathbf{F}^n$, where B' is a certain nondegenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form on $\mathbf{g}_0$.

Proposition 4.3.6. *Let V be a vertex superalgebra and let V^1 and V^2 be two vertex subsuperalgebras of V such that V^1 and V^2 generate V and that*

$$[Y(u^1,z_1), Y(u^2,z_2)] = 0 \quad \textit{for any } u^i \in V^i. \tag{4.3.26}$$

Suppose that V^2 is a vertex operator superalgebra itself such that $\ker_{V^2} D = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{1}$. Then V is isomorphic to the tensor product vertex superalgebra $V^1 \otimes V^2$.

Proof. Define a linear map ψ from $V^1 \otimes V^2$ to V such that $\psi(a \otimes u) = a_{-1}u$ $(= u_{-1}a)$ for $a \in V^1, u \in V^2$. For any $a, b \in V^1, u, v \in V^2$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(Y(a\otimes\mathbf{1},z)(b\otimes u)) &= \psi(Y(a,z)b \otimes Y(\mathbf{1},z)u) \\
&= (Y(a,z)b)_{-1}u \\
&= u_{-1}Y(a,z)b \\
&= Y(a,z)u_{-1}b
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= Y(a,z)b_{-1}u \\
&= Y(\psi(a\otimes \mathbf{1}),z)\psi(b\otimes u) \\
&= Y(\psi(a\otimes \mathbf{1}),z)\psi(b\otimes u). \qquad (4.3.27)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we have:

$$\psi(Y(\mathbf{1}\otimes u,z)(b\otimes v)) = Y(\psi(\mathbf{1})\otimes u,z)\psi(b\otimes v). \qquad (4.3.28)$$

Since $\mathbf{1}\otimes V^2$ and $V^1\otimes \mathbf{1}$ generate $V^1\otimes V^2$, ψ is a vertex superalgebra homomorphism. Since V^1 and V^2 generate V, ψ is surjective. Since $\ker_{V^2} L(-1) = \mathbf{C1}$, it is easy to see that $\ker(\mathbf{1}\otimes L(-1)) = V^1\otimes \mathbf{1}$. Suppose $\ker\psi \neq 0$. Since $V^1\otimes V^2$ as a V^2-module is a direct sum of copies of V^2's, $\ker\psi$ contains at least one copiy of V^2, so that there is a nonzero vector $a\in V^1$ such that $a\otimes\mathbf{1}\in\ker\psi$. Thus $a = a_{-1}\mathbf{1} = \psi(a\otimes\mathbf{1}) = 0$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, ψ is injective. That is, ψ is an isomorphism. $\square$

By Theorem 4.3.1, for any complex number ℓ, $M_{(\mathbf{g},B)}(\ell,\mathbf{C})$ is a vertex superalgebra. We may consider $\mathbf{g}$ as a subspace of $M_{(\mathbf{g},B)}(\ell,\mathbf{C})$ by identifying a with $a_{-1}\mathbf{1}$ for any $a\in\mathbf{g}$.

Let $\{u^1,\cdots,u^n\}$ be an orthonormal basis for $\mathbf{g}_1$. For any $a,b\in\mathbf{g}_0$, we define

$$B_2(a,b) = \sum_{i=1}^n B(au^i,bu^i). \qquad (4.3.29)$$

Let ρ be the representation of $\mathbf{g}_0$ on $\mathbf{g}_1$. Then

$$B_2(a,b) = \sum_{i=1}^n B(au^i,bu^i) = -\sum_{i=1}^n B(u^i,abu^i) = -tr_{\mathbf{g}_0}\rho(a)\rho(b). \qquad (4.3.30)$$

Thus B_2 is a symmetric invariant bilinear form on $\mathbf{g}_0$. Set $B' = B + B_2$.

For any $u\in\mathbf{g}_1$, we have $u = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle u,u^i\rangle u^i$. Therefore

$$a\cdot u^i = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle au^i,u^j\rangle u^j \ \text{ for any } a\in\mathbf{g}_0. \qquad (4.3.31)$$

For any $a\in\mathbf{g}_0$, set

$$\bar{a} = a + \frac{1}{2\ell}\sum_{i=1}^n u^i_{-1}(au^i)_{-1}\mathbf{1}\left(= a + \frac{1}{2\ell}\sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle au^i,u^j\rangle u^i_{-1}u^j_{-1}\mathbf{1}\right). \qquad (4.3.32)$$

For any $a \in \mathbf{g}_0, u \in \mathbf{g}_1$, by definition we have:

$$\begin{aligned} u_0\bar{a} &= u_0 a + \frac{1}{2\ell}\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_0 u^i_{-1}(au^i)_{-1}\mathbf{1} \\ &= u_0 a + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\Big(\langle u, u^i\rangle au^i - \langle u, au^i\rangle u^i_{-1}\mathbf{1}\Big) \\ &= u_0 a + \frac{1}{2}au + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\langle au, u^i\rangle u^i_{-1}\mathbf{1} \\ &= u_0 a + au \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4.3.33}$$

and

$$u_k\bar{a} = u_k a + \frac{1}{2\ell}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\langle au^i, u^j\rangle u_k u^i_{-1}u^j_{-1}\mathbf{1} = 0 \quad \text{for } k \geq 1. \tag{4.3.34}$$

Thus by the commutator formula (2.2.6) we get

$$[u_m, \bar{a}_n] = 0 \quad \text{for any } m, n \in \mathbf{Z}. \tag{4.3.35}$$

Let V^1 and V^2 be the vertex subsuperalgebras of $M_{(\mathbf{g},B)}(\ell, \mathbf{C})$ generated by $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_0 = \{\bar{a}|a \in \mathbf{g}_0\}$ and by $\mathbf{g}_1$, respectively. Since V^1 is generated by even elements, V^1 is a vertex algebra. It is clear that $V^2 \simeq \mathbf{F}^n$. By (4.3.32) and the iterate formula (2.2.8), we get:

$$[a_m, u_n] = 0 \quad \text{for any } a \in V^1, u \in V^2. \tag{4.3.36}$$

Since $\mathbf{F}^n$ is a simple vertex operator superalgebra, it follows from the proof of Lemma 4.3 [L1] or [T] that $\ker L(-1) = |bfC\mathbf{1}$. By Proposition 4.3.6, $M_{(\mathbf{g},B)}(\ell, \mathbf{C})$ is isomorphic to the tensor product vertex superalgebra of vertex algebra V^1 with the vertex operator superalgebra $\mathbf{F}^n$.

Let $a, b \in \mathbf{g}_0$. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{a}_0\bar{b} &= a_0\bar{b} + \frac{1}{2\ell}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\Big(u^i_{-1}(au^i)\Big)_0\bar{b}_{-1}\mathbf{1} \\ &= a_0\bar{b} \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= a_0 b + \frac{1}{2\ell}\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_0 u^i_{-1}(bu^j) \\
&= a_0 b + \frac{1}{2\ell}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Big((au^i)_{-1}(bu^i) + u^i_{-1}(abu^i)\Big) \\
&= a_0 b + \frac{1}{2\ell}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \langle au^i, u^j\rangle u^j_{-1}(bu^i) + \frac{1}{2\ell}\sum_{i=1}^{n} u^i_{-1}(abu^i) \\
&= a_0 b - \frac{1}{2\ell}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \langle u^i, au^j\rangle u^j_{-1}(bu^i) + \frac{1}{2\ell}\sum_{i=1}^{n} u^i_{-1}(abu^i) \\
&= a_0 b - \frac{1}{2\ell}\sum_{j=1}^{n} u^j_{-1}(bau^j) + \frac{1}{2\ell}\sum_{i=1}^{n} u^i_{-1}(abu^i) \\
&= a_0 b + \frac{1}{2\ell}\sum_{i=1}^{n} u^i_{-1}([a,b]u^i) \\
&= \overline{[a,b]}, \qquad (4.3.37)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{a}_1\bar{b} &= a_1\bar{b} + \frac{1}{2\ell}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(u^i_{-1}au^i\right)_1\bar{b} \\
&= a_1\bar{b} \\
&= a_1 b + \frac{1}{2\ell}\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_1 u^i_{-1}(bu^i) \\
&= \left(\ell B(a,b) + \frac{1}{2}B_2(a,b)\right)\mathbf{1}. \qquad (4.3.38)
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that $a_n\bar{b} = 0$ for $n \geq 2$. Thus

$$
\bar{a}_n\bar{b} = \bar{a}_n b = 0 \quad \text{for } n \geq 2. \qquad (4.3.39)
$$

By the commutator formula (2.2.6), we have:

$$
[\bar{a}_m, \bar{b}_n] = \overline{[a,b]}_{m+n} + B'(a,b) \qquad (4.3.40)
$$

for any $a, b \in \mathbf{g}_0, m, n \in \mathbf{Z}$. Therefore, $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_0 = \{\bar{a} | a \in \mathbf{g}_0\}$ is a Lie algebra isomorphic to $\mathbf{g}_0$ under the multiplication $[\bar{a}, \bar{b}] = \overline{[a,b]}$. Then we have $V^1 \simeq M_{(\mathbf{g}_0, B')}(\ell, \mathbf{C})$. Therefore we obtain:

Proposition 4.3.7. *Let $(\mathbf{g}, B)$ be any pair satisfying (4.3.1) and let ℓ be any nonzero complex number. Then vertex superalgebra $M_{(\mathbf{g},B)}(\ell, \mathbf{C})$ is isomorphic to the tensor vertex superalgebra $M_{(\mathbf{g}_0,B')}(1, \mathbf{C}) \otimes \mathbf{F}^n$.*

Corollary 4.3.8. *For any complex number $\ell \neq 0$, $M_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, \mathbf{C})$ is a vertex operator superalgebra of rank $\frac{n}{2} + \frac{(\ell - \Omega)d}{\ell}$.*

Proof. Since $B_2 = -2\Omega B$, we have $B' = (\ell - \Omega)B$. Then this corollary follows from Propositions 4.3.3, 4.3.5 and 4.3.7. $\square$

Since $M_{(\mathbf{g},B)}(\ell, \mathbf{C})$ as a vertex operator superalgebra is generated by $\mathbf{g}$, it follows from the iterate formula (2.2.8) that the (unique) maximal proper $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$-submodule of $M_{(\mathbf{g},B)}(\ell, \mathbf{C})$ is a module for the vertex superalgebra $M_{(\mathbf{g},B)}(\ell, \mathbf{C})$. Therefore, the uniquely determined irreducible quotient module $L_{(\mathbf{g},B)}(\ell, 0)$ is a quotient vertex operator superalgebra.

Corollary 4.3.9. *The vertex operator superalgebra $L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, 0)$ is isomorphic to the tensor product vertex operator superalgebra of the vertex operator algebra $L_{\mathbf{g}_0}(\ell - \Omega, 0)$ with the vertex operator superalgebra $\mathbf{F}^n$.*

Remark 4.3.10. In [KW], the authors have studied the special case when $\mathbf{g}_0$ is simple and $\mathbf{g}_1 = \mathbf{g}_0$ is the adjoint module.

5 The semisimple representation theory for $L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, 0)$

In this section we shall prove that if ℓ is a positive integer, then any lower truncated $\mathbf{Z}$-graded weak $L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, 0)$-module is completely reducible and the set of equivalence classes of irreducible $L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, 0)$-modules is exactly the set of equivalence classes of standard $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$-modules of level ℓ. This in particular proves the rationality of $L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, 0)$ (in the sense of [Zhu]).

5.1 The semisimple quotient algebras of $U(\mathbf{g})$

In order to prove the rationality of $L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, 0)$, we need a result about complete reducibility for a class of $\mathbf{g}$-modules. In this subsection we study the semi-simplicity for some quotient algebras of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathbf{g})$ of a given simple Lie algebra $\mathbf{g}$. Let $\mathbf{g}$ be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra with a fixed Cartan subalgebra $\mathbf{h}$. Let Δ be the set of roots, and fix a set Π of simple roots. Let θ be the highest root. For any positive integer ℓ and $\alpha \in \Delta$, define $A_{\mathbf{g}}(\alpha, \ell)$ to be the quotient algebra of $U(\mathbf{g})$ modulo the two-sided ideal generated by $\mathbf{g}_{\alpha}^{\ell+1}$.

Proposition 5.1.1. *Let $\mathbf{g} = sl(2, \mathbf{C})$ and let ℓ be any positive integer. Then the quotient algebra $A = U(\mathbf{g})/\langle e^{\ell+1} \rangle$ is semisimple. Equivalently, any A-module is completely reducible.*

Proof. Step one: Any nonzero A-module M contains an irreducible submodule of dimension at most $\ell + 1$. Let r be the nonnegative integer such that $e^r M \neq 0$ and $e^{r+1} M = 0$. If $r = 0$, or equivalently $eM = 0$, then $hM = [e, f]M = 0$ and $fM = \frac{1}{2}[f, h]M = 0$. Therefore M is a direct sum of one-dimensional modules. Next, we assume $r \neq 0$. Since

$$[e^{r+1}, f] = (r + 1)(h - r)he^r, \tag{5.1.1}$$

we have

$$eu = 0,\ hu = ru \quad \text{for any } u \in e^r M. \tag{5.1.2}$$

Let $0 \neq u \in e^r M$. Then u generates a highest weight module M_1 of highest weight r. If $u_r = f^{r+1}u \neq 0$, then u_r generates a highest weight module of highest weight $-r$, which is infinite-dimensional and irreducible. Thus $e^n f^n u_r \neq 0$ for any positive integer n. This is a contradiction. Therefore $f^{r+1}u = 0$. Consequently, M_1 is a $(r+1)$-dimensional irreducible submodule.

Step two: Any A-module M is completely reducible. Let M^1 be the sum of all irreducible submodules of dimension at most $\ell + 1$. If $M = M^1$, then M is completely reducible. Otherwise, by Step one, there is a submodule W of M such that W/M^1 is an irreducible module of dimension at most $\ell+1$. It is clear that both e and f nilpotently act on W and h locally finitely acts on W. Then W is completely reducible as a $\mathbf{g}$-module. That is, there is an irreducible submodule M^2 of dimensional at most $\ell + 1$ such that $W = M^1 \oplus M^2$. This contradicts to the choice of M^1. Therefore, $M = M^1$ is completely reducible. $\square$

Proposition 5.1.2. *Let θ be the highest root of $\mathbf{g}$. Then any $A_{\mathbf{g}}(\theta, \ell)$-module M is a direct sum of finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathbf{g}$-modules $L_{\mathbf{g}}(\lambda)$ such that $\langle \lambda, \theta \rangle \leq \ell$. Consequently, $A_{\mathbf{g}}(\theta, \ell)$ is semisimple.*

Proof. For any positive root of $(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})$, we have a copy of $sl(2, \mathbf{C})$ inside $\mathbf{g}$, denoted by $\mathbf{g}^\alpha$ with a basis $\{e_\alpha, f_\alpha, h_\alpha\}$. It follows from Proposition 5.1.1 that $M = \oplus_{n=-\ell}^{\ell} M_n$, where M_n is the eigenspace of eigenvalue n for h_θ. Since

$$e_\alpha \cdot M_n \subseteq M_{n+\langle \theta, \alpha \rangle} \quad \text{for any } n, \text{ and } 0 \neq \langle \theta, \alpha \rangle \in \mathbf{Z}, \tag{5.1.3}$$

we get $e_\alpha^{2\ell+2} M = 0$. It follows from Proposition 5.1.1 that M is a direct sum of finite-dimensional $\mathbf{g}^\alpha$-modules. Let α go through all positive roots. Then $\mathbf{h}$ acts semisimply on M. Since all e_α, f_α act nilpotently on M, M is completely reducible as a $\mathbf{g}$-module. Since the highest weight λ of any irreducible $\mathbf{g}$-submodule is also a highest weight for $\mathbf{g}^\theta$, then $\langle \lambda, \theta \rangle \leq \ell$. $\square$

Remark 5.1.3. From [FZ], $A_{\mathbf{g}}(\theta, \ell)$ is Zhu's algebra $A(L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, 0))$ for the vertex oper-

ator algebra $L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, 0)$. Furthermore, $A_{\mathbf{g}}(\theta, \ell)$ is closely related to the quotient algebra of quantum group $U_q(\mathbf{g})$ with $q = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{\ell+2}\right)$ in a certain subtle way.

Remark 5.1.4. Let A be any finite-dimensional quotient algebra of $U(\mathbf{g})$. Since A is a finite-dimensional $\mathbf{g}$-module, there is a positive integer ℓ such that $e_\theta^{\ell+1} A = 0$. Therefore A is a quotient algebra of $A(\mathbf{g}, \ell)$. Consequently, A is semisimple.

Proposition 5.1.5. *Let α be any root of $\mathbf{g}$ with respect to $\mathbf{h}$, and let k be any nonnegative integer. Then the quotient algebra $A_{\mathbf{g}}(\alpha, \ell)$ of $U(\mathbf{g})$ is semisimple.*

Proof. Let M be any $A_{\mathbf{g}}(\alpha, \ell)$-module. As before, $\mathbf{g}^\alpha = \mathbf{g}_\alpha + \mathbf{C}h_\alpha + \mathbf{g}_{-\alpha}$ is a subalgebra isomorphic to $sl(2, \mathbf{C})$. By Proposition 5.1.1, we have:

$$M = \oplus_{i=-k}^{k} M(i) \quad \text{where } M(i) = \{u \in M | h_\alpha u = iu\}. \tag{5.1.4}$$

Just as in the proof of Proposition 5.1.2, we obtain $\mathbf{g}_\theta^{2k+2} M = 0$. In particular, if $M = A_{\mathbf{g}}(\alpha, k)$, then this implies that $A_{\mathbf{g}}(\alpha, k)$ is a quotient algebra of $A_{\mathbf{g}}(\theta, 2k+2)$. It follows from Proposition 5.1.2 and Remark 5.1.4 that $A_{\mathbf{g}}(\alpha, k)$ is semisimple. $\square$

Remark 5.1.6. This section was written in the first preprint of this paper about one year ago. Later, we noticed that Kac and Wang [KW] gave a more general result by using a Lie group approach which is much simpler. Since we think that our algebraic approach may be useful to certain quadratic algebras, we just keep as it was.

5.2 Untwisted representation theory for $L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, 0)$

The following two propositions are well known (at least for simple Lie algebras of type A, D or E). In this subsection we give a different proof without using the explicit constructions of basic standard modules.

Proposition 5.2.1. *Let e_α be any root vector of $\mathbf{g}$ with root α. Suppose $L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, 0)$ is an integrable $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$-module. Then $Y(e_\alpha, z)^{t\ell+1} = 0$ acting on $L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, 0)$ where $t = 1$ if α is a long root, $t = 2$ if α is a short root and $\mathbf{g} \neq \mathbf{G}_2$, $t = 6$ if α is a short root and $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{G}_2$.*

Proof. By Dong and Lepowsky's Proposition 2.3.6, it is equivalent to prove that

$$(e_\alpha)_n e_\alpha = 0 \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbf{Z}_+; \;\; (e_\alpha)_{-1}^{t\ell} e_\alpha = (e_\alpha)_{-1}^{t\ell+1} \mathbf{1} = 0. \tag{5.2.1}$$

From the standard semisimple Lie algebra theory (cf. [Hum], [Jac], [Kac]) we can embed $sl(2, \mathbf{C})$ into $\mathbf{g}$ as $\mathbf{g}^\alpha$ linearly spanned by $e_\alpha, f_\alpha, h_\alpha$. Since $\langle h_\alpha, h_\alpha \rangle = 4\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle^{-1} = 2t$, so $\tilde{sl}(2, \mathbf{C})$ becomes a subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ with the central element tc. Then it follows from the standard results [Kac] for integrable highest weight representation theory for an affine Lie algebra. $\square$

Proposition 5.2.2. *Let* $\mathbf{g} = sl(2, \mathbf{C})$. *Then* $Y(e, z)^{\ell+1} = Y(f, z)^{\ell+1} = 0$ *acting on* $L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, m)$ *for* $0 \leq m \leq \ell$.

Proof. By Proposition 5.2.1, $Y(e, z)^2 = Y(f, z)^2 = 0$ on $L_{\mathbf{g}}(1, 0)$. Let σ be the involution of $\tilde{sl}(2, \mathbf{C})$, defined as follows:

$$\sigma \;\; : \;\; t^n \otimes e \mapsto t^{n+1} \otimes f, \;\; t^n \otimes f \mapsto t^{n-1} \otimes e, \tag{5.2.2}$$

$$t^n \otimes h \mapsto \delta_{n,0} c - t^n \otimes h, \;\; c \mapsto c \;\text{ for } n \in \mathbf{Z}. \tag{5.2.3}$$

In terms of generating functions, σ may be written as

$$\sigma(Y(e, z)) = zY(f, z), \; \sigma(Y(f, z)) = z^{-1} Y(e, z), \; \sigma(Y(h, z)) = c - Y(h, z). \tag{5.2.4}$$

Then through σ, $L_{\mathbf{g}}(1, 1)$ becomes a $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$-module which is isomorphic to $L_{\mathbf{g}}(1, 0)$. Then $Y(e, z)^2 = Y(f, z)^2 = 0$ acting on $L_{\mathbf{g}}(1, 1)$ as well.

For any positive integer ℓ and any $0 \leq m \leq \ell$. Since $L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, m)$ can be embedded into the m tensor $L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, 0)^{\otimes(\ell-m)} \otimes L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, 1)^{\otimes m}$, we have

$$Y(e, z)^{\ell+1} = Y(f, z)^{\ell+1} = 0 \quad (\text{acting on } L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, m)). \quad \square$$

Proposition 5.2.3. *Let* e *be any root vector of* $\mathbf{g}$ *with root* α. *If* $L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, \lambda)$ *is an integrable* $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$*-module, then* $Y(e, z)^{t\ell+1} = 0$ *acting on* $L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, \lambda)$.

Proof. For any root α of $(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})$, we can embed $\tilde{sl}(2, \mathbf{C})$ into $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ with center tc. Then $L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, \lambda)$ is a direct sum of standard $\tilde{sl}(2, \mathbf{C})$-modules of level $t\ell$. From Proposition 5.2.2, we have:

$$Y(e, z)^{t\ell+1} = 0 \quad \text{(acting on } L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, \lambda)) \tag{5.2.5}$$

for any vector $e \in \mathbf{g}_\alpha$. $\square$

Proposition 5.2.4. *Any standard $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$-module $L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, \lambda)$ is a module for the vertex operator algebra $L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, 0)$.*

Proof. Let V be the subspace of $\mathrm{F}(L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, 0))$ generated by all $a(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} a(n) z^{-n-1}$ for $a \in \mathbf{g}$. By Corollary 3.2.11 and Proposition 4.3.3, V is a vertex operator algebra (which is a quotient module of $M_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, 0)$) and $L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, 0)$ is a faithful module. By Proposition 5.2.3, $Y(e_\theta, z)^{\ell+1} = 0$ on $L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, \lambda)$. It follows from Remark 2.3.7 that $Y(e_\theta, z)^{\ell+1} = 0$ on V. Since $Y(e, z)^{\ell+1}\mathbf{1} = 0$ implies that $(e_{-1})^{\ell+1}\mathbf{1} = 0$ (the constant term), V is an integrable $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$-module. Therefore $V = L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, 0)$. That is, $L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, \lambda)$ is a module for the vertex operator algebra $L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, 0)$. $\square$

Proposition 5.2.5. *Let $M = \oplus_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} M(n)$ be any lower truncated $\mathbf{Z}$-graded weak $L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, 0)$-module. Then M is a direct sum of standard $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$-modules of level ℓ.*

Proof. Step one: Any nonzero $\mathbf{Z}$-graded weak $L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, 0)$-module $M = \oplus_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} M(n)$ truncated from below contains some graded submodule which is a standard $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$-module of level ℓ. Let n be the integer such that $M(n) \neq 0$ and $M(m) = 0$ for $m < n$. Then

$$Y(e_\theta, z)^{\ell+1} M(n) = 0. \tag{5.2.6}$$

Extracting the coefficient of $z^{-\ell-1}$ from (5.2.6), we obtain $(e_\theta)_0^{\ell+1} M(n) = 0$. From Proposition 5.1.2, $M(n)$ is a direct sum of finite-dimensional $\mathbf{g}$-modules $L(\lambda)$ such that $\langle \lambda, \theta \rangle \leq \ell$. Let u be any highest weight vector for $\mathbf{g}$ in $M(n)$. Extracting the constant from $Y(e_\theta, z)^{\ell+1} u = 0$, we obtain $(e_\theta)_{-1}^{\ell+1} u = 0$. Let M_1 be the submodule generated by

u. Then M_1 is an integrable lowest weight $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$-module (the semisimplicity of $\mathbf{h}$ is obvious). Then M_1 is a standard $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$-module.

Step two: Any lower truncated $\mathbf{Z}$-graded weak $L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, 0)$-module is completely reducible. Let M_1 be the sum of all graded standard $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$-modules inside M. If $M \neq M_1$, by Step one, M/M_1 contains a graded standard $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$-module, i.e., there is a submodule M_2 of M such that M_2/M_1 is a standard $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$-module. Since M_1 and M^2/M^1 are completely reducible $\mathbf{g}$-module, so is M_2. Thus $\mathbf{h}$ acts semisimply on M_2. Then M_2 is an integrable $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$-module as well. Therefore $M_2 = M_1 \oplus L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, \lambda)$. This is a contradiction. $\square$

It has been proved in [DMZ] that if both V^1 and V^2 are rational vertex operator algebras, then so is the tensor vertex operator algebra $V^1 \otimes V^2$. In order to make this paper self-contained, we prove the following special case.

Lemma 5.2.6. *Let V be a rational vertex operator superalgebra and let n be any positive integer. Then the tensor vertex operator superalgebra $V \otimes \mathbf{F}^n$ is rational.*

Proof. Let M be any $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}$-graded weak $V \otimes \mathbf{F}^n$-module, which is truncated from below. Set $W = \{u \in M | (1 \otimes L(-1))u = 0\}$, where $L(-1)$ in the second slot is from $\mathbf{F}^n$. Define a linear map:

$$\psi : W \otimes \mathbf{F}^n \to M;\ u \otimes a \mapsto a_{-1}u \quad \text{for any } u \in W, a \in \mathbf{F}^n. \tag{5.2.7}$$

We shall prove that $M \simeq W \otimes \mathbf{F}^n$ as a $V \otimes \mathbf{F}^n$-module. It is clear that ψ commutes with each $Y(a, z)$ for $a \in V$ and it follows from Proposition 4.1.1 that ψ is a $\mathbf{F}^n$-homomorphism. Thus ψ is a $(V \otimes \mathbf{F}^n)$-module homomorphism. Since M is a $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}$-graded weak $\mathbf{F}^n$-module truncated from below, by Proposition 4.3.5 M is a direct sum of copies of $\mathbf{F}^n$, so that ϕ is surjective. Since $\mathbf{F}^n$ is simple, it follows from Proposition 4.1.1 that for any $u \in W$, ϕ restricted to $u \otimes \mathbf{F}^n$ is a injective, so that ϕ is injective on $W \otimes \mathbf{F}^n$. Therefore, any $V \otimes \mathbf{F}^n$ has a canonical decomposition. Since W is a completely reducible V-module, for proving that $W \otimes \mathbf{F}^n$ is a completely reducible $V \otimes \mathbf{F}^n$-module, it is enough to prove that $M \otimes \mathbf{F}^n$ is an irreducible $V \otimes \mathbf{F}^n$-module for any irreducible V-module M. Let M_1

be any nonzero submodule of $M \otimes \mathbf{F}^n$. Then there is a vector $0 \neq u \in M_1$ such that $(1 \otimes L(-1))u = 0$. Since $\mathbf{F}^n$ is simple, it follows from the proof of Lemma 4.3 [L1] (see also [T]) that $\ker_{\mathbf{F}^n} L(-1) = \mathbf{C1}$. Therefore, $\ker_{M\otimes\mathbf{F}^n}(1 \otimes L(-1)) = M \otimes \mathbf{1}$. Then it is easy to see that u generates $M \otimes \mathbf{F}^n$ by $V \otimes \mathbf{F}^n$. Thus $M_1 = M \otimes \mathbf{F}^n$. Therefore, $M \otimes \mathbf{F}^n$ is irreducible. $\square$

Proposition 5.2.7. *Let $\mathbf{g}_0$ be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra and let $\mathbf{g}_1$ is a finite-dimensional $\mathbf{g}_0$-module equipped with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form B_1 such that*

$$B_1(au.v) = -B_1(u, av) \quad \text{for any } a \in \mathbf{g}_0, u, v \in \mathbf{g}_1. \tag{5.2.8}$$

Let ℓ be such that $\ell - \Omega$ is a positive integer. Then the vertex operator superalgebra $L_{\mathbf{g}}(\ell, 0)$ is rational.

Proof. It is a simple consequence of Proposition 5.2.5, Corollary 4.3.9 and Lemma 5.2.6. $\square$

6 Generalized intertwining operators

In [L2], we gave an analogue of the space of linear homomorphisms from one module to another for a Lie algebra by introducing the notion of generalized intertwining operators from one module to another for a vertex operator algebra. In this section, we generalize this result for vertex operator superalgebras.

Throughout this section, V will be a fixed vertex operator superalgebra.

6.1 Generalized intertwining operators

In this subsection, we define "generalized intertwining operators" from one module to another for a vertex operator superalgebra V and we prove that the space of generalized intertwining operators has a natural generalized V-module structure.

Definition 6.1.1. Let V be a vertex operator superalgebra and let M^i $(i = 1, 2)$ be V-modules. A formal series $\phi(z) \in (\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(M^1, M^2))\{z\}$ is called an *even homogeneous generalized intertwining operator* if it satisfies the following conditions:

(GIO1) There are finitely many complex numbers $h_1, \cdots, h_n$ such that

$$\phi(z) \in \sum_{k=1}^{n} z^{h_k} \left(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(M^1, M^2)\right)[[z, z^{-1}]],$$
$$\phi(z)u \in \sum_{k=1}^{n} z^{h_k} \left(M^2\right)((z)) \quad \text{for any } u \in M^1.$$

(GIO2) $[L(-1), \phi(z)] = \frac{d}{dz}\phi(z) = \phi'(z)$.

(GIO3) $[L(0), \phi(z)] = h\phi(z) + z\frac{d}{dz}\phi(z)$ for some complex number h, called the *weight* of $\phi(z)$.

(GIO4) For any $a \in V$, there is a positive integer n such that

$$(z_1 - z)^n Y_{M^2}(a, z_1)\phi(z) = (z_1 - z)^n \phi(z) Y_{M^1}(a, z_1). \tag{6.1.1}$$

Denote by $(G(M^1, M^2))^0_{(h)}$ the vector space of all even homogeneous generalized intertwining operators of weight h from M^1 to M^2.

Similarly, a formal series $\phi(z) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(M^1, M^2)\{z\}$ is called an *odd homogeneous generalized intertwining operator* if $\phi(z)$ satisfies (GIO1)-(GIO3) and the following condition:

(GIO4)$'$ For any $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$-homogeneous $a \in V$, there is a positive integer n such that

$$(z_1 - z)^n Y_{M^2}(a, z_1)\phi(z) = (-1)^{|a|}(z_1 - z)^n \phi(z) Y_{M^1}(a, z_1). \tag{6.1.2}$$

Denote by $(G(M^1, M^2))^1_{(h)}$ the vector space of all odd homogeneous generalized intertwining operators of weight h from M^1 to M^2. Then we set

$$\left(G(M^1, M^2)\right)^0 = \oplus_{h\in\mathbf{C}} \left(G(M^1, M^2)\right)^0_{(h)}, \tag{6.1.3}$$

$$\left(G(M^1, M^2)\right)^1 = \oplus_{h\in\mathbf{C}} \left(G(M^1, M^2)\right)^1_{(h)}, \tag{6.1.4}$$

$$G(M^1, M^2) = (G(M^1, M^2))^0 \oplus (G(M^1, M^2))^1. \tag{6.1.5}$$

Any element of $(G(M^1, M^2))^0$ is called an *even generalized intertwining operator* and any element of $(G(M^1, M^2))^1$ is called an *odd generalized intertwining operator*. Furthermore, we call any element of $G(M^1, M^2)$ a *generalized intertwining operator*.

It is clear that $G(M^1, M^2)$ is a $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$-graded vector space. If $\phi(z)$ is an even (resp. odd) generalized intertwining operator (of weight h), then $\phi'(z)$ is an even (resp. odd) generalized intertwining operator (of weight $h + 1$). Therefore, we have:

$$\frac{d}{dz} \cdot \left(G(M^1, M^2)\right)^0_{(h)} \subseteq \left(G(M^1, M^2)\right)^0_{(h+1)}, \tag{6.1.6}$$

$$\frac{d}{dz} \cdot \left(G(M^1, M^2)\right)^1_{(h)} \subseteq \left(G(M^1, M^2)\right)^1_{(h+1)}. \tag{6.1.7}$$

A generalized intertwining operator $\phi(z)$ of weight h is said to be *primary* if it satisfies the following condition:

$$[L(m), \phi(z)] = \left(z^{m+1}\frac{d}{dz} + h(m + 1)z^m\right)\phi(z) \text{ for any } m \in \mathbf{Z}. \tag{6.1.8}$$

A homogeneous (with respect to both gradings) generalized intertwining operator $\phi(z)$ is called a *lowest weight generalized intertwining operator* if satisfies the following condition:

$$(z_1 - z_2)^k Y_{M^2}(a, z_1)\phi(z_2) = \varepsilon_{a,\phi}(z_1 - z_2)^k \phi(z_2) Y_{M^1}(a, z_1) \tag{6.1.9}$$

for any homogeneous element $a \in V$ and for any $k \geq \mathrm{wt}a$.

Definition 6.1.2. For any homogeneous $a \in V, \phi(z) \in G(M^1, M^2)$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} & Y(a,z_0)\circ\phi(z_2) \\ = \ & \mathrm{Res}_{z_1}\left(z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right)Y_{M^2}(a,z_1)\phi(z_2) - \varepsilon_{a,\phi}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2-z_1}{-z_0}\right)\phi(z_2)Y_{M^1}(a,z_1)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{6.1.10}$$

Then we extend the definition bilinearly to any $a \in V, \phi(z) \in G(M^1, M^2)$.

Remark 6.1.3.. For any $a \in V, \phi(z) \in G(M^1, M^2)$, we set

$$Y(a,z_0)\circ\phi(z_2) = \sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}} a_n\circ\phi(z_2)z_0^{-n-1}. \tag{6.1.11}$$

It follows from (GIO4) and (GIO4)$'$ that $a_n\circ\phi(z_2) = 0$ for n sufficiently large. By Remark 2.3.5, we have the following Jacobi identity:

$$\begin{aligned} & z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right)Y_{M^2}(a,z_1)\phi(z_2) - \varepsilon_{a,\phi}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2-z_1}{-z_0}\right)\phi(z_2)Y_{M^1}(a,z_1) \\ = \ & z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right)Y(a,z_0)\circ\phi(z_2). \end{aligned} \tag{6.1.12}$$

Proposition 6.1.4. *For any homogeneous $a \in V, \phi(z) \in G(M^1, M^2)$, $a_n \circ \phi(z)$ is a homogeneous generalized intertwining operator of weight* $(\mathrm{wt}a + \mathrm{wt}\phi - n - 1)$ *for any n and $|a_n \circ \phi(z)| = |a||\phi(z)|$.*

Proof. This easily follows from the proofs of Lemma 3.1.8 and Proposition 3.2.7. □

Lemma 6.1.5. *Under Definition 6.1.2, we have*

$$Y(\mathbf{1},z_0)\circ\phi(z_2) = \phi(z_2) \quad \textit{for any } \phi(z_2) \in G(M^1, M^2), \tag{6.1.13}$$

$$L(-1)\circ\phi(z)\ (=\omega_0\circ\phi(z)) = \frac{d}{dz}\phi(z) \quad \textit{for } \phi(z) \in G(M^1, M^2), \tag{6.1.14}$$

$$L(0)\circ\phi(z)(=\omega_1\circ\phi(z)) = h\phi(z) \quad \textit{for } \phi(z) \in G(M^1, M^2)_{(h)}. \tag{6.1.15}$$

Proof. This directly follows from the definitions, (GIVO2) and (GIVO3). □

Lemma 6.1.6. For any $a \in V, \phi(z) \in G(M^1, M^2)$, we have:

$$[L(-1), Y(a, z_0)] \circ \phi(z_2) = Y(L(-1)a, z_0) \circ \phi(z_2) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_0} Y(a, z_0) \circ \phi(z_2). \quad (6.1.16)$$

Proof. It is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.1.7. □

In [L2], we have proved that $G(M^1, M^2)$ is a generalized module for V being a vertex operator algebra by using FHL's notion of "transpose" intertwining operator [FHL]. Here, we give a direct proof for V being a vertex operator superalgebra.

Theorem 6.1.7. *Under Definition 6.1.2, $G(M^1, M^2)$ becomes a generalized V-module.*

Proof. Notice that only the Jacobi identity is left to be checked. For any homogeneous $a, b \in V, \phi(z) \in G(M^1, M^2)$, let n be an positive integer such that

$$(z_3 - z)^n Y_{M^2}(a, z_3)\phi(z) = \varepsilon_{a,\phi}(z_3 - z)^n \phi(z) Y_{M^1}(a, z_3), \quad (6.1.17)$$

$$(z_4 - z)^n Y_{M^2}(b, z_4)\phi(z) = \varepsilon_{b,\phi}(z_4 - z)^n \phi(z) Y_{M^1}(b, z_4), \quad (6.1.18)$$

$$(z_3 - z_4)^n Y_{M^k}(a, z_3) Y_{M^k}(b, z_4) = \varepsilon_{a,b}(z_3 - z_4)^n Y_{M^k}(b, z_4) Y_{M^k}(a, z_3) \quad (6.1.19)$$

for $k = 1, 2$. By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Y(a, z_1) \circ (Y(b, z_2) \circ \phi(z)) \\ = \; & \mathrm{Res}_{z_3} z_1^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_3 - z}{z_1}\right) Y_{M^2}(a, z_3)(Y(b, z_2) \circ \phi(z)) \\ & - \mathrm{Res}_{z_3} z_1^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z - z_3}{-z_1}\right) \varepsilon_{a,b}\varepsilon_{a,\phi}(Y(b, z_2) \circ \phi(z)) Y_{M^1}(a, z_3) \\ = \; & \mathrm{Res}_{z_3}\mathrm{Res}_{z_4}(A - B - C + D) \end{aligned} \quad (6.1.20)$$

where

$$A = z_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_3 - z}{z_1}\right) z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_4 - z}{z_2}\right) Y_{M^2}(a, z_3) Y_{M^2}(b, z_4)\phi(z), \quad (6.1.21)$$

$$B = z_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_3 - z}{z_1}\right) z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z - z_4}{-z_2}\right) \varepsilon_{b,\phi} Y_{M^2}(a, z_3)\phi(z) Y_{M^1}(b, z_4), \quad (6.1.22)$$

$$C = z_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z - z_3}{-z_1}\right) z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_4 - z}{z_2}\right) \varepsilon_{a,b}\varepsilon_{a,\phi} Y_{M^2}(b, z_4)\phi(z) Y_{M^1}(a, z_3), \quad (6.1.23)$$

$$D = z_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z - z_3}{-z_1}\right) z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z - z_4}{-z_2}\right) \phi(z)\varepsilon_{a,b}\varepsilon_{a,\phi}\varepsilon_{b,\phi} Y_{M^1}(b, z_4) Y_{M^1}(a, z_3). \quad (6.1.24)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Y(b,z_2)\circ(Y(a,z_1)\circ\phi(z)) \\ = \ & \mathrm{Res}_{z_3}\mathrm{Res}_{z_4}(A'-B'-C'+D') \end{aligned} \tag{6.1.25}$$

where

$$A' = z_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_3-z}{z_1}\right)z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_4-z}{z_2}\right)Y_{M^2}(b,z_4)Y_{M^2}(a,z_3)\phi(z), \tag{6.1.26}$$

$$B' = z_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_3-z}{z_1}\right)z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z-z_4}{-z_2}\right)\varepsilon_{a,\phi}Y_{M^2}(b,z_4)\phi(z)Y_{M^1}(a,z_3), \tag{6.1.27}$$

$$C' = z_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z-z_3}{-z_1}\right)z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_4-z}{z_2}\right)\varepsilon_{a,b}\varepsilon_{b,\phi}Y_{M^2}(a,z_3)\phi(z)Y_{M^1}(b,z_4), \tag{6.1.28}$$

$$D' = z_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z-z_3}{-z_1}\right)z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z-z_4}{-z_2}\right)\phi(z)\varepsilon_{a,b}\varepsilon_{a,\phi}\varepsilon_{b,\phi}Y_{M^1}(a,z_3)Y_{M^1}(b,z_4). \tag{6.1.29}$$

By the property of δ-function, we have

$$z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right)z_0^n z_1^n z_2^n Q = z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right)(z_3-z)^n(z_4-z)^n(z_3-z_4)^n Q \tag{6.1.30}$$

for any $Q\in\{A,B,C,D\}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right)z_0^n z_1^n z_2^n(A-B-C+D) \\ = \ & z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right)(z_3-z)^n(z_4-z)^n(z_3-z_4)^n(A-B-C+D) \\ = \ & \mathrm{Res}_{z_3}\mathrm{Res}_{z_4}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right)z^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_3-z_1}{z}\right)z^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_4-z_2}{z}\right)\cdot X \end{aligned} \tag{6.1.31}$$

where

$$X = (z_3-z)^n(z_4-z)^n(z_3-z_4)^n Y_{M^2}(a,z_3)Y_{M^2}(b,z_4)\phi(z).$$

Similarly, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2-z_1}{-z_0}\right)z_0^n z_1^n z_2^n(A'-B'-C'+D') \\ = \ & \mathrm{Res}_{z_3}\mathrm{Res}_{z_4}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2-z_1}{-z_0}\right)z^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_3-z_1}{z}\right)z^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_4-z_2}{z}\right)\cdot X. \end{aligned} \tag{6.1.32}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & z_0^n z_1^n z_2^n \left(z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right) Y(a,z_1)Y(b,z_2) - \varepsilon_{a,b} z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2-z_1}{-z_0}\right) Y(b,z_2)Y(a,z_1)\right)\phi(z) \\ = \; & \mathrm{Res}_{z_3}\mathrm{Res}_{z_4} z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right) z^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_3-z_1}{z}\right) z^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_4-z_2}{z}\right)\cdot X. \end{aligned} \tag{6.1.33}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & z_0^n z_1^n z_2^n z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right) Y(Y(a,z_0)b,z_2)\circ\phi(z) \\ = \; & \mathrm{Res}_{z_4} z_0^n z_1^n z_2^n z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right) z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_4-z}{z_2}\right) Y_{M^2}(Y(a,z_0)b,z_4)\phi(z) \\ & -\varepsilon_{a,\phi}\varepsilon_{b,\phi}\mathrm{Res}_{z_4} z_0^n z_1^n z_2^n z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right) z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z-z_4}{-z_2}\right)\phi(z) Y_{M^1}(Y(a,z_0)b,z_4). \end{aligned} \tag{6.1.34}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & z_0^n Y_{M^i}(Y(a,z_0)b,z_4) \\ = \; & \mathrm{Res}_{z_3} z_0^n z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_3-z_4}{z_0}\right) Y_{M^i}(a,z_3)Y_{M^i}(b,z_4) \\ & -\varepsilon_{a,b}\mathrm{Res}_{z_3} z_0^n z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_4-z_3}{-z_0}\right) Y_{M^i}(b,z_4)Y_{M^i}(a,z_3) \\ = \; & \mathrm{Res}_{z_3} z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_3-z_4}{z_0}\right)((z_3-z_4)^n Y_{M^i}(a,z_3)Y_{M^i}(b,z_4)) \\ & -\varepsilon_{a,b}\mathrm{Res}_{z_3} z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_4-z_3}{-z_0}\right)((z_3-z_4)^n Y_{M^i}(b,z_4)Y_{M^i}(a,z_3)) \\ = \; & \mathrm{Res}_{z_3} z_4^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_3-z_0}{z_4}\right)((z_3-z_4)^n Y_{M^i}(a,z_3)Y_{M^i}(b,z_4)), \end{aligned} \tag{6.1.35}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & z_0^n z_1^n z_2^n z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right) Y(Y(a,z_0)b,z_2)\circ\phi(z) \\ = \; & \mathrm{Res}_{z_3}\mathrm{Res}_{z_4} z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right) z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_4-z}{z_2}\right) z_4^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_3-z_0}{z_4}\right)\cdot X \\ & -\varepsilon_{a,\phi}\varepsilon_{b,\phi}\mathrm{Res}_{z_3}\mathrm{Res}_{z_4} z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right) z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z-z_4}{-z_2}\right) z_4^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_3-z_0}{z_4}\right)\cdot X \\ = \; & \mathrm{Res}_{z_3}\mathrm{Res}_{z_4} z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right) z^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_4-z_2}{z}\right) z_4^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_3-z_0}{z_4}\right)\cdot X. \end{aligned} \tag{6.1.36}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right)z^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_4-z_2}{z}\right)z_4^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_3-z_0}{z_4}\right)\\
= \;& z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right)z_4^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z+z_2}{z_4}\right)z_3^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_4+z_0}{z_3}\right)\\
= \;& z_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2+z_0}{z_1}\right)z_4^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z+z_2}{z_4}\right)z_3^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z+z_2+z_0}{z_3}\right)\\
= \;& z_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2+z_0}{z_1}\right)z_4^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z+z_2}{z_4}\right)z_3^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z+z_1}{z_3}\right)\\
= \;& z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right)z^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_4-z_2}{z}\right)z^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_3-z_1}{z}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& z_0^n z_1^n z_2^n\left(z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right)Y(a,z_1)Y(b,z_2)-\varepsilon_{a,b}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2-z_1}{-z_0}\right)Y(b,z_2)Y(a,z_1)\right)\phi(z)\\
= \;& z_0^n z_1^n z_2^n z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right)Y(Y(a,z_0)b,z_2)\circ\phi(z). \qquad (6.1.37)
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides by $z_0^{-n}z_1^{-n}z_2^{-n}$, we obtain the Jacobi identity. $\square$

Remark 6.1.7. If $\phi(z)$ is a primary generalized intertwining operator, then $\phi(z)$ is a primary vector in the generalized V-module $G(M^1,M^2)$, i.e., $L(m)\circ\phi(z)=0$ for any positive integer m. If $\phi(z)$ is a lowest weight generalized intertwining operator, then $a_n\circ\phi(z)=0$ for any homogeneous $a\in V$ and for any $n\geq \mathrm{wt}a$.

6.2 A universal property for $G(M^1,M^2)$

In this subsection, we prove a universal property for $G(M^1,M^2)$, by which we can identify the fusion rule of certain type with the dimension of the space of V-homomorphisms from a certain V-module to $G(M^1,M^2)$).

Let M be another V-module and let $\phi\in \mathrm{Hom}_V(M,G(M^1,M^2))$. Then we define a linear map $I_\phi(\cdot,z)$ by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi: \quad & M\to(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(M^1,M^2))\{z\}\\
& u\mapsto I_\phi(u,z)=\phi(u)(z) \quad \text{for } u\in M. \qquad (6.2.1)
\end{aligned}$$

By definition, we have

$$I_\phi(L(-1)u,z)=\phi(L(-1)u)(z)=L(-1)\circ\phi(u)(z)=\frac{d}{dz}\phi(u)(z)=\frac{d}{dz}I_\phi(u,z). \quad (6.2.2)$$

Furthermore, for any homogeneous $a\in V, u\in M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right)Y(a,z_1)I_\phi(u,z_2)-\varepsilon_{a,u}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2-z_1}{-z_0}\right)I_\phi(u,z_2)Y(a,z_1)\\
= \;& z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right)Y(a,z_1)\phi(u)(z_2)-\varepsilon_{a,u}z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2-z_1}{-z_0}\right)\phi(u)(z_2)Y(a,z_1)\\
= \;& z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right)(Y(a,z_0)\circ\phi(u)(z_2))\\
= \;& z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right)\phi\left(Y(a,z_0)u\right)(z_2)\\
= \;& z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right)I_\phi(Y(a,z_0)u,z_2). \qquad (6.2.3)
\end{aligned}$$

This proves that $I_\phi(\cdot,z)$ is an intertwining operator of type $\binom{M^2}{M,M^1}$. If $I_\phi(\cdot,z)=0$, then $\phi(u)(z)=0$ for all $u\in M$. Thus $\phi=0$. Therefore, we obtain a linear injective map

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi: \quad & \mathrm{Hom}_V(M,\mathrm{G}(M^1,M^2))\to I\binom{M^2}{M,M^1}\\
& \phi\mapsto I_\phi(\cdot,z). \qquad (6.2.4)
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for any intertwining operator $I(\cdot,z)$ of type $\binom{M^2}{M,M^1}$, it is clear that $I(u,z)\in G(M^1,M^2)$ for any $u\in M$. Then we obtain a linear map f_I from M to $G(M^1,M^2)$ defined by $f_I(u)=I(u,z)$. Tracing back the argument above, we see that f_I is a V-homomorphism such that $I_{f_I}=I(\cdot,z)$. Therefore we have proved the following universal property:

Theorem 6.2.1. *Let M^1 and M^2 be modules for a given vertex operator superalgebra V. Then for any V-module M and any intertwining operator $I(\cdot,z)$ of type $\binom{M^2}{M,M^1}$, there is a unique V-homomorphism ψ from M to $G(M^1,M^2)$ such that $I(u,z)=\psi(u)(z)$ for $u\in M$.*

The following corollary of Theorem 6.2.1 is parallel to Proposition 3.2.13.

Corollary 6.2.2. *Let M^i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ be three modules for a vertex operator superalgebra V. Then giving an intertwining operator of type $\binom{M^3}{M^1, M^2}$ is equivalent to giving a V-homomorphism from M^1 to $G(M^2, M^2)$.*

Remark 6.2.3. Let M^1 and M^2 be any two modules for a vertex operator superalgebra V. Then for any set A of homogeneous generalized intertwining operators from M^1 to M^2, there exists a generalized V-module M and an intertwining operator $I(\cdot, z)$ of type $\binom{M^2}{M, M^1}$ such that each element of A can be considered as an intertwining operator of type $I(\cdot, z)$ evaluated at a vector of M. Also notice that the commutator formula (2.2.6) implies (GVO4) or (GVO4)$'$.

Remark 6.2.4. Just as in Lie algebra theory, $G(M^1, M^2)$ is closely related to a tensor product of certain two modules ([HL], [L2]). Here, we won't go in that direction.

Proposition 6.2.5. *Let M be a V-module. Then $G(V, M) \simeq M$.*

Proof. For any $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$-homogeneous $u \in M$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_u(z) \ &: \ V \to M[[z, z^{-1}]]; \\ &\phi_u(z)a = \varepsilon_{a,u} e^{zL(-1)} Y(a, -z)u \quad \text{for any homogeneous } a \in V. \end{aligned} \tag{6.2.5}$$

By definition, we get

$$\begin{aligned} [L(-1), \phi_u(z)]a &= L(-1)e^{zL(-1)}Y(a, -z)u - e^{zL(-1)}Y(L(-1)a, -z)u \\ &= \left(\frac{d}{dz}e^{zL(-1)}\right) Y(a, -z)u + e^{zL(-1)}\left(\frac{d}{dz}Y(a, -z)u\right) \\ &= \frac{d}{dz}\phi_u(z)a. \end{aligned}$$

For any $b \in V$, there is a positive integer k such that

$$(z_0 + z_2)^k Y(b, z_0 + z_2)Y(a, z_2)u = (z_0 + z_2)^k Y(Y(b, z_0)a, z_2)u \tag{6.2.6}$$

for any $a \in V$ where k is independent of a. By definition, we have

$$(z_0 + z_2)^k Y(b, z_0 + z_2)e^{z_2 L(-1)}\phi_u(-z_2) = \varepsilon_{b,u}(z_0 + z_2)^k e^{z_2 L(-1)}\phi_u(-z_2)Y(b, z_0)a. \tag{6.2.7}$$

By the conjugation formula (2.2.4), we get

$$(z_0+z_2)^k Y(b,z_0)\phi_u(-z_2) = \varepsilon_{b,u}(z_0+z_2)^k \phi_u(-z_2)Y(b,z_0). \tag{6.2.8}$$

Thus $\phi_u(z) \in G(V,M)$ for any $u \in M$. By Definition 6.1.2, one can easily prove that the linear map ϕ from M to $G(V,M)$ is a V-homomorphism.

On the other hand, let $\psi(z)$ be any even or odd generalized intertwining operator from V to M. Write $\psi(z)$ as

$$\psi(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \psi_i(z) \quad \text{where } z^{h_i}\phi_i(z) \in (\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(V,M))[[z,z^{-1}]], \tag{6.2.9}$$

where $h_i (i = 1, \cdots, k)$ are different modulo $\mathbf{Z}$. It is easy to see that every $\psi_i(z)$ is an even or odd generalized intertwining operator again. Now we may assume that $\psi(z) = \sum_{n\in h+\mathbf{Z}} \psi_n z^{-n-1}$ for some complex number h. From condition (GIO2), we have

$$[L(-1), \psi_n] = -n\psi_{n-1} \text{ for any } n \in h+\mathbf{Z}. \tag{6.2.10}$$

If $\psi_n \mathbf{1} = 0$ for some nonzero n, then

$$\psi_{n-1}\mathbf{1} = -\frac{1}{n}(L(-1)\psi_n - \psi_n L(-1))\mathbf{1} = 0. \tag{6.2.11}$$

If h is not an integer, it follows from (GIO1) that $\psi_n \mathbf{1} = 0$ for all $n \in h+\mathbf{Z}$. Furthermore, we have $\psi(z) = 0$ because $\{a \in V | \psi(z)a = 0\}$, the annihilating ideal of $\psi(z)$ in V, contains $\mathbf{1}$. For the rest of the proof, we assume $h = 0$. By condition (GIO1), it follows that $\psi_n \mathbf{1} = 0$ for any nonnegative n. Therefore $\psi(z)\mathbf{1}$ involves only nonnegative powers of z. Let $\psi_{-1}\mathbf{1} = u \in M$. By using (GIO2), one can easily get $\psi(z)\mathbf{1} = e^{zL(-1)}u$. For any $a \in V$, let k be a positive integer such that

$$(z-z_1)^k \psi(z)Y(a,z_1) = \varepsilon_{a,\psi}(z-z_1)^k Y(a,z_1)\psi(z). \tag{6.2.12}$$

Then

$$z^k\psi(z)a \;=\; \lim_{z_1\to 0}(z-z_1)^k\psi(z)Y(a,z_1)\mathbf{1}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_{z_1\to 0} \varepsilon_{a,\psi}(z-z_1)^k Y(a,z_1)\psi(z)\mathbf{1} \\
&= \lim_{z_1\to 0} \varepsilon_{a,\psi}(z-z_1)^k Y(a,z_1)e^{zL(-1)}u \\
&= \lim_{z_1\to 0} \varepsilon_{a,\psi}(z-z_1)^k e^{zL(-1)}Y(a,z_1-z)u \\
&= \varepsilon_{a,\psi} z^k e^{zL(-1)}Y(a,-z)u. \qquad (6.2.13)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\psi(z)a = \varepsilon_{a,\psi}e^{zL(-1)}Y(a,-z)u$. That is, $\psi(z) = \phi_u(z)$. Therefore $G(V,M)$ is isomorphic to M as a V-module. $\square$

Remark 6.2.6. If $M = V$, then $V = G(V,V)$. That is, any generalized intertwining operator is a vertex operator.

References

[A] F. Akman, The semi-infinite Weil complex of a graded Lie algebra, Ph.D. thesis, Yale University, 1993.

[B] R. E. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster, *Proc. Nati. Acad. Sci. USA* **83** (1986), 3068-3071.

[D1] C. Dong, Vertex algebras associated with even lattices, *J. Algebra* **161** (1993), 245-265.

[D2] C. Dong, Twisted modules for vertex algebras associated with even lattices, *J. of Algebra* **164** (1994).

[DL] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, *Generalized Vertex Algebras and Relative Vertex Operators*, Progress in Math., **Vol.** 112, Birkhauser, Boston, 1993.

[DMZ] C. Dong, J. Mason and Y. Zhu, Discrete series of the Virasoro algebra and the moonshine module, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math., American Math. Soc.*, to appear.

[FF] B. Feigin and E. Frenkel, Affine Kac-Moody algebras at the critical level and Gelfand-Dikii algebras, Preprint, 1991.

[FFR] A. Feingold, I. Frenkel and X. J. Ries, *Spinor Construction of Vertex Operator Algebras, Triality and* E_8^1, Contemporary Mathematics **121** (1991).

[FHL] I. B. Frenkel, Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules, Preprint, 1989; *Memoirs American Math. Soc.* **104**, 1993.

[FLM] I. B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, *Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster, Pure and Applied Math.,* **Vol. 134**, Academic Press, New York, 1988.

[FZ] I. B. Frenkel and Y.-C. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **66** (1992), 123-168.

[Go] P. Goddard, Meromorphic conformal field theory, in *Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras and Groups*, Proceedings of the conference held at CIRM, Luminy, edited by Victor G. Kac (1988).

[Guo] H. Guo, On abelian intertwining algebras and modules, Ph.D. thesis, Rutgers University, 1993.

[Hel] S. Helgason, *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups and Symmetric spaces*, Academic Press, 1978.

[H] Y.-Z. Huang, Geometric interpretation of vertex operator algebras, Ph.D. thesis, Rutgers University, 1990.

[HL] Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, Toward a theory of tensor products for representations of a vertex operator algebra, *Proc. 20th International Conference on Dif-*

ferential Geometric Methods in Theoretical Physics, New York, 1991, ed. S. Catto and A. Roacha, World Scientific, Singapore, 1992, **Vol. 1**, 333-343.

[Hup] J. E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **9**, Springer, 1972.

[Jac] N. Jacobson, *Lie Algebras*, New York-London; Wiley Interscience, 1962.

[Kac] V. G. Kac, *Infinite-dimensional Lie Algebras*, 3rd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[KT] V. G. Kac and I. T. Todorov, Superconformal current algebras and their unitary representations, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 102 (1985), 337-347.

[KW] V. G. Kac and W.-Q. Wang, Vertex operator superalgebras and representations, to appear.

[Lep] J. Lepowsky, Generalized Verma modules and loop space cohomology and Macdonald-type identities, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.* **12** (1979), 699-701.

[L1] H.-S. Li, Symmetric invariant bilinear forms on vertex operator algebras, *J. of Pure and Appl. Alg.*, to appear.

[L2] H.-S. Li, An approach to tensor product theory for representations of a vertex operator algebra, Preprint, 1993.

[L3] H.-S. Li, Local systems of twisted vertex operators, vertex superalgebras and twisted modules, Preprint, 1994.

[Lian] B.-H. Lian, On the classification of simple vertex operator algebras, Preprint, 1992.

[MP] A. Meurman and M. Primc, Annihilating ideals of standard modules of $\tilde{sl}(2, \mathbf{C})$ and combinatorial identities, *Advances in Math.* **64** (1987), 177-240.

[T] H. Tsukada, Vertex operator superalgebras, *Comm. in Alg.*, 18 (7) (1990), 2249-2274.

[Xie] C.-F. Xie, Vertex operator algebras and standard modules for affine algebras, Ph.D thesis, Rutgers University, 1993.

[Xu] X. Xu, Twisted modules of colored lattice vertex operator superalgebras, Preprint (1992).

[Z] Y.-C. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras, elliptic functions and modular forms, Ph.D. thesis, Yale University, 1990.